۲,

2

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	352				
STATE OF MINNESOTA	DISTRICT COURT				
COUNTY OF DAKOTA	FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT				
State of Minnesota,	File No. K6-04-000736				
Plaintiff,	Appellate Court				
vs.	File No.				
Philip Randall Vance,	VOLUME 3 (October 1 and 4, 2004)				
Defendant.	100000001 1 2112 17 20007				
The above-entitled ma	tter came duly on for Jury				
Trial before the Honorable Rex	D. Stacey, one of the Judges				
of the above-named Court, comme	ncing on September 20, 2004,				
at the Dakota County Judicial C	enter, City of Hastings,				
County of Dakota, State of Minnesota.					
* *	•   MAR 2 2 2265				
APPEAR	ANCESUSSION				
KATHRYN M. KEENA, Ass	istant Dakota County				
Attorney, Dakota County Judicia	l Center, 1560 Highway 55,				
Hastings, Minnesota, 55033, appeared representing the					
State.					
CEAN F. SHANDS, Assis	tant Public Defender, and				
NITU K. SINGH, Assistant Public	Defender, appeared as				
counsel for and on behalf of the defendant.					

THE DEFENDANT appeared personally.

2 3

A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(October 1 and 4, 2004) WITNESSES: PAGE DANIEL VUJOVICH DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA (CONT.) 358 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS 363 369 374 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS REGINA HAGERMAN DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 380 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 386 ERIC GRIFFIN 389 394 397 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SINGH REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. SINGH DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SINGH 400 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 405 TYRONE CRAWFORD DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 407 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 414 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SHANDS
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA
RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA
FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 418 419 420 420

INDEX

354

1	<u> </u>	NDEX	(CONTINUED	)				
2	WITNESSES:							
3	SANYA M. CLARK							
4	DIRECT EXAMINAT:	ION BY MS	. KEENA		421			
5	PHILLIP OEFFLING							
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA 424							
7	DONTAY REESE							
8	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION				429 439			
9	REDIRECT EXAMINA	TION BY	MS. KEENA		443			
10	GERONIMO ESTRADA							
11	DIRECT EXAMINAT	ON BY MS	. KEENA		444			
12	DANIEL VUJOVICH (Re-	alled; O	ctober 4,	2004)	- 4			
13	DIRECT EXAMINATI	ON BY MS	. KEENA		461			
14								
15	EXHIBITS:							
16	NUMBER	MARKED	OFFERED	RECEIVED				
17	44 - Aerial Photo	360	361	361				
18	45 - Letter and Envelope	422						
19	46 - Copy of Exh. 45	426	427	428				
20	with redactions	120	427	420				
21	47A- Compact Disc	456	457	457				
22	47B- Transcript (Court Exhibit)	456	457	457				
23	(COULT EXHIBIT)							
24								
25								

that Keitha --

(Whereupon, the following proceedings were duly had of record October 1, 2004:) MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, at this time I -well, yesterday I had indicated to the Court concern

355

MS. SINGH: McKinney.

MR. SHANDS: -- that Keitha McKinney had testified. She was represented. She had been arrested. I had some concern. I had further found out -- I did call her attorney, who I -- Ira Whitlock represented Ms. McKinney. He represented her when she was arrested. He indicated to me that he still represents her. In fact, he represents her on another matter. He was not contacted by the County Attorney's Office.

It's our position that evidence -- that her testimony is evidence that should not have been in this trial. We believe that prejudices Mr. Vance. At this time we'd make a motion for a mistrial.

MS. KEENA: I am totally confused by this motion. I don't understand why the defense feels that they -- the rights that we're talking about are Keitha McKinney's rights, and it's Keitha McKinney's risk when she gets up on the stand in that anything she says can be used against her in any future court proceedings.

She doesn't get to take the stand in front of the jury and be advised that she has the right to remain silent as a suspect in front of the jury. That isn't the way it works. We do that outside the presence of the jury. They're her rights; I discussed them with her. She told me she talked to her attorney. I told her what testimony I was going to elicit from her. She indicated to me that she would proceed without her attorney, without me granting her any use immunity.

So I -- the State doesn't understand what the issue is here. And there's no -- it doesn't affect this trial in any way, shape, or form.

MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, the issue here is that there was testimony that was brought up that was improperly brought into the courtroom. The Court is to advise Ms. McKinney of her rights. It doesn't have to happen in front of the jury.

> THE COURT: Why didn't you ask me to do that? MR. SHANDS: Because I didn't --

THE COURT: I don't know this case. I don't

MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, I didn't know Keitha McKinney was their next witness. Keitha McKinney came in here and got on the stand. I didn't know that

Ms. Keena was out there talking to her about her

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

about it. The point is we have improper testimony in

the Court remembers, she was on the stand for

Mr. Vance's trial. I think --

approximately 30 seconds. I have no -- I'm sitting

there, she pops up, I don't know, and I'm thinking

rights, about use immunity. She hits the stand, and if

THE COURT: It's not improper testimony.

MR. SHANDS: Ms. McKinney -- Ms. McKinney was approached as a witness; her attorney was not approached. We don't know if her rights were read to her. We don't know what she -- what -- I don't know what was said. I know what's been said by Ms. Keena. It didn't happen in the courtroom; it happened somewhere else.

I'm making the motion. The Court can deny it. I want the record to be clear that we think that testimony was improperly admitted in Mr. Vance's trial. We think it prejudices him, and we're making the motion for a mistrial.

THE COURT: Denied.

Anything else before we continue? MS. KEENA: Not by the State, Your Honor.

MR. SHANDS: How about the -- we also have other witnesses.

DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT (CONT.)

DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT (CONT.)

359

THE COURT: Richard Robinson and Yvonne

White?

χ 3

have all the reports.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

4

5

6

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. SHANDS: Yvonne White -- I'm anticipating as the State's -- as they're putting their case in, I think she should be advised of her rights. You know, some of the people on the witness list -- I don't know who Ms. Keena's calling. She hasn't called everyone on her witness list. You know, maybe she can inform the Court of who she's going to call. She doesn't have to tell us. The Court may want to look at the situation to see if they need to be advised of their attorney.

THE COURT: Okay. And I think I told you yesterday Arlene Perkkio called and wants to be present when Troy Crawford -- and would like to talk to Troy Crawford prior to his testifying.

Okay. Then can we continue?

MR. SHANDS: Yeah.

THE COURT: Bring them in.

(The jury returned to the courtroom.)

DANIEL VUJOVICH

CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MS. KEENA:

> Q. Captain Vujovich, during the course of your investigation, were you down in the area of St. Paul where The Buttery bar and the Radisson bar are located?

Exhibit P-4

Yes, I was.

Q. And how far apart are those two bars?

A. About a block, block and a half.

Q. During your investigation, did you determine what the distance is between that vicinity of St. Paul where the bars are located and Sabreen's?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And what's the distance?

A. It's approximately 5.4 miles.

10 Q. During your investigation, did you ever drive that route and time it? 11

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And what route did you take?

A. I left from the vicinity of The Buttery bar, went south on Robert to Concord, and then I continued on Concord into South St. Paul southbound. And when I got to Grand Avenue, I made a westbound turn, followed that up a hill, where I made a southbound turn on to Third Avenue, continued on to Third Street, where I made a right-hand turn. So I continued westbound and made a guick left-hand turn to continue southbound in the alley behind Sabreen's.

Q. And do you recall how many -- how many stoplights there are in that route you took?

A. I counted 12.

25

,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

A. Yes.

### DANTEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT (CONT.)

contained in white boxes. One -- could you just please

describe what those are?

24

25

362

nast the 141 Fourth Avenue South address? 1 2 A. Yes. it does. Q. Thank you. 3 MS. KEENA: Your Honor, may I publish this to 5 the jury? THE COURT: You may. 6 (Exhibit displayed to the jury.) 7 8 BY MS. KEENA: Q. Captain, did the South St. Paul Police Department issue 10 any press releases during the course of this investigation? 11 A. Yes, they did. 12 Q. In any of those press releases, did your department 13 14 release any information about the items that were reported taken from the store? 15 16 A. Other than the -- there was a -- cash that was in the 17 articles. No mention of any other items. 18 Q. So there was no mention of the lottery tickets? 19 A. No. 20 Q. Was there any mention of a cordless phone? 21 22 Q. Was there any mention of the white bags? Q. And was there any mention of the cigarettes? 25 A. No.

## DANIEL VUJOVICH - CROSS

O. And does that alley run all the way straight through

363

While this case has been going on, have you been retaining newspaper articles? A. We've retained them via the Internet, off the Web page.

We have those articles.

Q. And have you reviewed all of those articles?

A. I have and Sergeant Oeffling has also.

Q. And in those -- and from what newspapers have you been doing that from?

A. St. Paul Pioneer Press and the Minneapolis Star and Tribune.

Q. And in any of the articles that you've seen, has there 12 been any information about those missing articles I

14 A. No.

MS. KEENA: I have no further questions, Your

Honor.

just described?

MR. SHANDS: Thank you, Your Honor.

### CROSS-EXAMINATION

19 BY MR. SHANDS:

> Q. Captain Vujovich, you talked to Mr. Vance during your interviews about articles at the store that were missing. Isn't that correct?

23

Q. You talked to Mr. Vance about the cordless phone. Is that correct?

		19-1	``
		DANIEL VUJOVICH - CROSS 364	
1	Α.	I don't specifically recall. I may have.	
2	Q.	Isn't it true you talked to Mr. Vance about the plastic	
3		bags?	
4	A.	Yes.	
5	Q.	Isn't it true you talked to Mr. Vance about the	
6		cigarettes?	
7	A.	I'm sorry. What?	
8	Q.	About the cigarettes.	
9	Α.	Yes.	
(10)	Q.	Officer Vujovich, do you have problems hearing?	\
11	A.	I do have slight hearing loss, yes. If you would speak	
12		up, I'd appreciate it a little bit.	_
13	Q.	I'm sorry.	
14	A.	Thank you.	
15	Q.	Now during the time that well, on the sting	
16		operation with Melissa Stites, you sat in on that.	
17,		Correct?	
18	A.	Yes, I did.	
19	Q.	And at that time you had hearing loss also. Isn't that	
20		correct?	

Q. Okay. 4 A. You know, if you describe it like that. And it's 5 transmitted over that. Q. All right. Now, you testified that you heard Mr. Vance mention the south side and -- well, he said the south side with a Winchester. Is that correct? 10 Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Vance later told you he was speaking 11 about a Fabian Wilson, and he talked about maybe he was 12 talking about the south side of Chicago on Winchester 13 Street. Is that correct? Do you remember that information? A. He told me 45th and Winchester. 15 Q. 45<sup>th</sup> and Winchester. Now, you didn't check to see if 16 17 there was a Winchester on the south side of Chicago, 18 did you? 19 A. There is, according to the MapQuest. 20 Q. Okay. So you checked MapQuest and there was --21 22 O. -- a Winchester on the south side of Chicago? 23 A. Yes. Q. Now being the head investigator on this case, you were 24 25 in a position to give inducements to witnesses in

A. It's a hand pack, similar like to a walkie-talkie.

Mr. Vance?

3

DANIEL VUJOVICH - CROSS

Q. Okay. Now, you testified that you heard over -- well,

listen to the conversation between Melissa Stites and

What kind of hearing device did you have to

exchange for -- in exchange for their information. that correct?

MS. KEENA: Objection. What's the definition of "inducement"? I'm not sure the officer understands what that means.

BY MR. SHANDS:

21

22

23

24

25

3

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I do. 7

let me go back.

- Q. Do you understand what an inducement is?
- A. I don't know -- I don't understand what your meaning of
- Q. What's your meaning of "inducement"? What's your understanding of it?
- A. We could make a recommendation -- if they had a pending 12 case, we could make a recommendation to the attorneys 13 that they were cooperating with us.
  - Q. Okay. In effect, you've made some recommendations to attorneys on people on this case. Isn't that a correct -- well, let me be more specific. Isn't it true that in exchange for information -- in exchange for information from Eric Griffin, you have -- you told -- you've promised him that you would talk to the prosecuting authorities of Ramsey County about dismissing a third-degree controlled substance crime
  - A. I told him we would. I have not spoken to an attorney there vet.

Exhibit P-4

DANIEL VUJOVICH - CROSS

367

- But that's what you told him you would do if he came in and testified. Correct?
- We would let them know that he was helping us out, yes.
- Q. Isn't it true that you authorize -- or talked to the prosecuting authority, which in this case would be Dakota County, that in exchange for Dontay Reese's testimony --

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. May we approach?

THE COURT: Okav.

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

12 BY MR. SHANDS:

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Now Officer Vujovich, isn't it true that Dontay Reese, in exchange for his testimony, there is agreement that he would receive a 36-month decrease on his sentencing for a criminal sexual conduct charge in the second degree?

MS. KEENA: Objection, Your Honor. Can we approach?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

THE COURT: Was that question answered? MR. SHANDS: I don't believe so, Your Honor.

BY MR. SHANDS:

Q. Officer Vujovich, you've -- are you familiar with a

Yes. I am.

correct?

remember how much?

A. Pardon me?

Your Honor.

bills, not his testimony?

A. To cover his phone calls, yes.

A. Yes.

3

я

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

DANIEL VUJOVICH - REDIRECT Filed in District Court

BY MS. KEENA:

Q. Captain Vujovich, pointing your attention back to the undercover operation where you heard the conversation between Mr. Vance and Melissa Stites, you were asked about the comment about southside and Winchester. What was -- in the context of that conversation, was there any discussion about the city of Chicago?

3

- Was there any discussion about Fabian Wilson? o.
- 12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

- Q. What was the discussion about?
- A. It was talking about -- the conversation was directed towards guns and when was the last time he had used one.
- Q. In regards to what Dontay Reese and the inducement for Dontay Reese, how did Dontay Reese become known to you as a potential wirness?
- 20 A. One of our officers received a call from an inmate from 21 the Dakota County Jail indicating that he had 22 information in this case, and when we met with him, he 23 suggested that we speak with Dontay Reese.
  - Q. And the inmate that called your department, he was -he was in the jail at the time, but where does he

DANIEL VUJOVICH - REDIRECT

would give him in exchange for his testimony?

Q. You gave him funds for his phone bills. Do you

A. I think at this point approximately \$400 over time.

Q. So you've given him 400 bucks -- \$400 for his phone

Q. Mr. Estrada contacted you. Isn't that correct?

had his girlfriend contact your department.

A. Right, through his girlfriend, wife.

Q. Well Mr. Estrada, he contacted your department or he

MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions,

A. It was not for an exchange for his testimony. I did

give him funds for his inconvenience for phone bills.

370

When inmates are making phone calls out of the jail, is there an expense for that?

A. Yes, there is. They're collect calls.

Q. So whoever's receiving the phone call has to pay for it. Correct?

A. Yes.

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation in this case.

THE COURT: Overruled.

BY MS. KEENA:

Q. So what was the impetus -- or why did you give him this money for these phone calls?

A. Well, the short story of it is that he has a young child with his wife; he's not employed. He was at Ramsey County Workhouse. His wife was working in a pizza restaurant, and the calls were starting to build up when he was calling home. The expense were there, and we helped him out so he could continue to call his family and also that he could call us if he needed to.

Q. After Mr. Estrada came forward, are you aware of any difficulties that he had in the Ramsey County

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor, Irrelevant.

typically reside? 2 A. He lives about a block away from Sabreen's store to the 3 Q. So after you received that information, did somebody go 5 talk to Dontay Reese? 6 A. Sergeant Oeffling and I did, yes. 7 Q. And what was his initial reaction when you came and В spoke to him? A. He looked at us and said, "I don't want to talk about it." 10 11 O. But he eventually did. 12 A. He eventually ended up calling our department and was 13 in contact with Sergeant Oeffling. 14 Q. And did he tell you who he had to call before he called 15 16 A. (No response.) 17 Q. In making the decision to --18 A. When making his decision, yes, he contacted his mother, 19 his father, and I believe his attorney. 20 Q. In regards to Geronimo Estrada, did he ever ask you for 21 anything in exchange for his cooperation in this case? 22 23 Q. Why did your department -- well, first let's explain, 24 he was housed in the Ramsev County Workhouse at the 25 time he reported. Is that correct?

DANTEL VILIOVICH - REDIRECT

Exhibit P-4

371

7 Я 9

5

6

10

13

11

12

15 16

19

20

21

22

23

24

Workhouse?

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Overruled. 2 THE WITNESS: He had an incident where he was 3 assaulted that he thought could be in connection with 4 BY MS. KEENA: 5 6 Q. And in recalling the -- I believe it was the June  $18^{\mbox{th}}$  statement of Mr. Vance yesterday, did you --7 θ you mentioned to Mr. Vance during that interview 9 about -- you didn't name Mr. Estrada, but you mentioned 10 that there was someone in the jail that had information. Is that correct? 12 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 13 Counsel's testifying. 14 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MS. KEENA: 15 Q. During the June 18th interview, did you tell Mr. Vance 16 17 that you had additional information? 18 A. Yes. Q. And was -- and what was that information? 19 A. We had heard thirdhand that --20 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Hearsay. 21 22 THE COURT: Overruled. BY MS. KEENA: 23 24 O. You may continue.

State of Minnesota DANIEL VUJOVICH - REDIRECT <del>2/27/2025 10:46 A</del>M that was with Mr. Vance may have been the actual 2 shooter in this case, so we wanted to present that information to Mr. Vance to see what his response would 5 Q. In regards to Mr. Estrada, did you tell him about that information on June 18th, without mentioning 6 Mr. Estrada's name? That you had additional information from an inmate. A. We told Mr. Vance that, ves. O. Yeah. Okav. What happened to Mr. -- you indicated Mr. Estrada was assaulted while in jail. A. (Nodding.) Q. What happened then? 15 A. We requested the Ramsey County Workhouse to put him into protective custody for his safety. Q. And did they do that? A. Yes, they did. Q. And what does "protective custody" in the workhouse

DANIEL VUJOVICH - RECROSS

A. Okay. We had heard thirdhand that another individual

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

374

for speculation. Irrelevant. THE COURT: Well, do you know? THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, can you repeat the --BY MS. KEENA: Q. When they're in segregation like that -- well, in Mr. Estrada's case, do you know how often he would get out of his cell? A. I don't know. It was just described to me as limited. MS. KEENA: I have nothing further, Your Honor. MR. SHANDS: Just briefly, Your Honor. RE-CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS: Q. Now, you talked -- you spoke to Mr. -- you spoke to Mr. Estrada that you had obtained information that

someone else other than Mr. Vance was the shooter at Sabreen's? A. Not from Mr. Estrada. Q. I know you didn't receive it from Mr. Estrada, but that was the information you received. Isn't that correct? A. Yes. Q. Yes. The money that you gave Mr. Estrada was for him to be able to use the telephone at no expense to other people. Is that correct? Exhibit P-4

DANIEL VUJOVICH - RECROSS

A. Basically it's segregation from the other inmates and

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Calls

very limited contact with anyone else. Q. And how often do they get out of their cell when

375

A. No expense to his family, yes.

they're in segregation?

Q. You weren't with Mr. Estrada and looked at every phone call and only gave him money for the phone calls that went to his home, did you?

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Okay. So basically when they submitted or if they ask for money for phone expenses, you gave it to them, but you don't -- but you didn't verify who he called.

A. No.

10 Q. So the phone call money was just for that, phone calls.

11 A. That's what I gave it to him for.

> Q. Isn't it true that Dontay Reese would refuse to talk to you when you first came to him about this case?

A. He said he did not want to talk to us, yes.

Q. He refused to talk to you. 15

MS. KEENA: Objection. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: Okay, Go ahead.

18 BY MR. SHANDS:

> Q. But after you spoke about the possibilities of what you can do with him, then he spoke to you?

A. He contacted Sergeant Oeffling on his own, yes.

MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions,

Your Honor.

24

# BY MR. SHANDS: Q. Okay. And when Mr. Estrada was in the workhouse, Ramsey County Workhouse, there were other people in the workhouse besides Mr. Vance that were being investigated for the Sabreen's robbery. Isn't that correct? A. I don't know if that individual was there at that time or not. Q. I'm not speaking of that individual. There were other people at the Ramsey County Workhouse besides Mr. Vance that you -- that was -- that you suspect was involved in the Sabreen's robbery. Is that correct? MS. KEENA: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Can we approach, please? THE COURT: Okay.

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

Q. Captain Vujovich, did you attempt to talk to the other

people that were present during the conversations that

MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions,

DANIEL VUJOVICH - FURTHER REDIRECT

THE WITNESS: He said there were other people

THE COURT: Overruled.

### DANIEL VUJOVICH - FURTHER RECROSS 379 Mr. Estrada reported to you? A. We did talk to one person that was there, yes. Q. And was that person cooperative? A. No-5 MS. KEENA: I have nothing further. 6 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION 7 Q. When you say that person wasn't cooperative, that means 9 they didn't talk to you. 10 A. Did but had no comments about that. 11 MR. SHANDS: Okay. I have no further 12 questions. 13 MS. KEENA: Nothing further. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. 15 (The witness was excused.) 16 MS. KEENA: Can we take a short break so I 17 can line up who's here and who's not? 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ten minutes? 19 (The jury exited the courtroom.) 20 (A short recess was taken.) 21 (The jury returned to the courtroom.) 22 THE CLERK: Please step forward to be sworn. 23 Please raise your right hand. 24 (The oath was administered.)

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.

25

That's outside the scope of the redirect.

Your Honor.

BY MS. KEENA:

25

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

present, ves.

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota

REGINA HAGERMAN - DIRECT

```
REGINA HAGERMAN - DIRECT
                                                             380
                   For the record, please state your full name,
 2
         spelling your first and last name.
                   THE WITNESS: Regina Hagerman. R-E-G-I-N-A,
 3
         H-A-G-E-R-M-A-N.
                   THE CLERK: Thank you.
                           REGINA HAGERMAN
     having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on
     her oath as follows:
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MS. KEENA:
10
11
     Q. Good morning.
12
     A. Good morning.
13
     Q. Ms. Hagerman, do you know an individual by the nickname
         of Florida?
15
16
     Q. And is the person that you know by that nickname
17
         present in the courtroom today? .
18
     A. Yes.
19
     Q. Where is he at?
20
     A. (Indicating.) Right there.
21
                   MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that
22
         Ms. Hagerman has identified the defendant, Philip
23
       · Vance?
```

```
<del>2/27/2025 10</del>
     BY MS. KEENA:
     Q. How do you know Mr. Vance?
     A. He used to go out with my niece.
         And what's her name?
     A. Darlene Jones.
     Q. And is -- who's your mother?
     A. Jacqueline Ezell.
     Q. I would like to draw your attention to January of 2003.
 9
         Did Mr. Vance come to your house during that month?
     A. Yes.
10
11
     Q. And when did he come there?
12
     A. The day before the Super Bowl.
13
     Q. So it was a Saturday?
     A. I think so.
14
     Q. Was that the first time you had met Mr. Vance?
15
16
     A. Yes.
17
     Q. What was he doing at your house that day?
     A. Visiting my niece, drinking and talking.
18
     Q. And how long was he at your house that day?
19
20
     A. About five, six hours.
21
     Q. And while he was at your house, did he say anything
22
         about a murder?
     A. Yes.
23
24
     Q. What did he say?
```

A. He just said he was under investigation for a murder.

REGINA HAGERMAN - DIRECT

THE COURT: It may.

24

25

382

```
Q. And did he say anything else?
         That him and his friend did it. That's it.
     Q. Did he say anything about the investigation itself?
     Q. Did he say anything about the police?
     A. No.
                   MS. KEENA: Just one moment, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Okay.
 A
     BY MS. KEENA:
     Q. When he told you this, what was his demeanor?
10
11
     A. He was drunk.
12
     Q. Was he acting drunk or did you think he was really
         drunk?
13
14
                   MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.
15
                   THE COURT: Overruled.
16
                   THE WITNESS: Do I answer?
     BY MS. KEENA:
17
18
     O. Yeah.
     A. I don't know because I had just met him, so I don't
19
20
     Q. Did you notice anything else about his demeanor, how he
21
22
         was acting?
23
     A. Strange. I don't know.
```

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Calls

Q. What do you mean by "strange"?

Exhibit P-4

24

25

```
REGINA HAGERMAN - DIRECT
                                                             383
         for speculation.
                   THE COURT: Overruled.
                   THE WITNESS: I really can't explain. He was
 3
         just drunk, laughing. I don't know.
     BY MS. KEENA:
     Q. You testified in the grand jury in this matter.
         Correct?
     A. Yeah.
 9
                   MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your
10
         Honor?
11
                   THE COURT: (Nodding.)
12
                   MR. SHANDS: Your --
13
                   MS. KEENA: Ms. Hagerman --
                   MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, I'm going to object
14
15
         at this point.
16
                   THE COURT: Why don't you come up.
17
                   (Off-the-record bench discussion.)
     BY MS. KEENA:
18
19
     Q. Ms. Hagerman, again, you testified at the grand jury
         back in March it was. I believe --
20
```

Q. -- correct? And earlier -- this is a copy of your

Q. And I want you to use this to refresh your memory.

grand jury testimony. Okay?

A. Yeah.

A. Yes.

21

22

. 23

24

386

review this again.

1	A. (Pause.)
2	Q. So you indicated that he was acting drunk?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Okay. And strange?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you answered this did you think he was serious
7	when he told you that?
8	A. I don't know.
9	Q. You don't know?
10	A. I had just met him, so
11	Q. Okay.
12	MS. KEENA: I have no further questions, Your
13	Honor.
14	MR. SHANDS: Just briefly, Your Honor.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION
16	BY MR. SHANDS:
17	Q. Ms. Hagerman, Mr. Vance had been at your house for
18	approximately about five hours. Correct?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. And during that time, he had been drinking
21	alcohol.
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Okay. And he was drunk.
24	A. Correct.

Q. And isn't it true that when you told him that -- when

Q. Ms. Hagerman, I'm showing you a transcript of the

REGINA HAGERMAN - CROSS

### REGINA HAGERMAN - CROSS 387 he told you that him and a friend did it, you thought that he was trying to be hard. Isn't that correct? A. It was like he was bragging about something. Q. Right. He was sitting there bragging --MS. KEENA: Objection, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Sustained. Go ahead. 7 BY MR. SHANDS: Q. Can you tell me what you -- can you tell me what "trying to be hard" means to you? 10 A. Bragging, stuff like that. 11 Q. Isn't it true "trying to be hard" is trying to impress 12 other people? A. Yes. 13 14 Q. Okay. Mr. Vance didn't talk about any details. 15 16 Q. But he said they would not be able to prove it. Is 17 that correct? 18 A. Correct. Q. And this -- and I just want to make sure: This was the 19 20 day before the Super Bowl? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Okay. And that was -- was that at the end of January 23 or was it in February? 24 A. I don't remember. 25 O. You don't remember --

25

ERIC GRIFFIN - DIRECT

2/27/2025 1d 46 AM

A. I don't watch it. 2 O. Okav. MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA: 6 Q. Ms. Hagerman, when he -- he told you that they were under investigation -- that he was under investigation for a murder. Correct? 9 A. Correct. 10 Q. And you were asked questions about -- you indicated 11 that he was bragging. Correct? 12 A. Correct. Q. Did what he tell you impress you? 13 14 A. I don't know who --

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.

Objection. Question calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE COURT: Thank you.

A. I don't know who -- no, I don't know who he was trying

MS. KEENA: I have no further questions, Your

MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions.

(The witness was excused.) THE CLERK: Please step forward to be sworn. (The oath was administered.) THE CLERK: Please have a seat. For the record, please state your full name, spelling your first and last name. THE WITNESS: Eric Griffin. E-R-I-C,

G-R-I-F-F-I-N.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

ERIC GRIFFIN.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KEENA:

2

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Griffin.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. As a preliminary matter, I would like to briefly review your criminal history. You have a conviction for nonsupport, failure to pay child support, from October of '97 and a conviction for controlled substance crime in the fifth degree from March of 2000. Is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. I'd also like to review with you what you're receiving in exchange for your cooperation in this case. Okay?

ERIC GRIFFIN - DIRECT

ERIC GRIFFIN - DIRECT

Strike Force, and they have agreed to recommend to the

prosecutor that that drug charge be dismissed for your

Q. Do you know two individuals with the nicknames of

No.

Q. How long have you known Stacks?

A. Maybe about the same.

Q. How would you describe your relationship with

Mr. Vance?

A. Okay -- okay friends, I quess.

Q. How often would you see each other?

A. Pretty much about maybe three, four times a week.

Q. And where would you typically see him?

A. Downtown St. Paul.

Q. I would like to draw your attention to the evening of December 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2002. Are you familiar with The Buttery

bar?

14 A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

Q. And where is that located?

A. Downtown St. Paul.

Q. And were you at The Buttery that evening?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. What time did you arrive?

20 A. Around 10:00.

Florida and Stacks? Q. And is the person that you know as Florida present in the courtroom today? Q. And where is he at? MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the witness has identified Philip Vance? THE COURT: It may. Q. How long have you known Mr. Vance? A. Maybe about two years. Q. And how long have you known Stacks or -- do you know Stacks' real name?

Q. And did you go there by yourself? 21 22 23 Q. While you were at The Buttery that night, did you see 24 Mr. Vance and Stacks? 25 A. Yes.

cooperation. Is that correct?

Q. It's my understanding that you have a pending drug charge in Ramsey County. Is that correct?

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

5 Q. And that the arresting agency was the Minnesota Gang 6 7

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MS. KEENA:

Honor.

Q. Did that impress you?

to impress.

10

11 12 A. Yes. 13

14

15 A. Yes.

16

17 A. (Indicating.) 18

BY MS. KEENA

Exhibit P-4

19 20

21

22

23

24

ERIC GRIFFIN - CROSS

O. Do you know Geronimo Estrada?

395

2 A. No. 3 MS. KEENA: I have no further questions. 4 MS. SINGH: Thank you. Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. SINGH: Q. Mr. Griffin, you stated that you've known Mr. Vance for about two years now? .10 Q. So back in two thousand -- back in December of 2002, you'd only known him for a short amount of time? 13 Q. Now you stated that you used to see him about three or

15 A. Yeah. 16 Q. Okay. You also stated that that evening on the 22<sup>nd</sup> he 17 had a black hooded sweatshirt and loose-fitting blue 18 jeans on. Right? 19

four times a week at that time.

A. Yeah.

1

11

12

14

20

21

24

25

Q. Now you saw Mr. Vance quite a few times during that time period. Correct?

22 A. Uh-huh.

23 Q. Is that a "ves"?

A. Yes.

Q. And was that a general outfit that he wore during the

times that you saw him?

A. He wore different outfits, you know.

Q. But he generally wore loose-fitted jeans?

3

5

7

R

Q. And he generally wore sweaters or hooded sweatshirts?

ERIC GRIFFIN - CROSS

6

Q. Now Mr. Griffin, you spoke to individuals from the Minnesota Gang Task Force. Is that correct?

9

Q. And the first contact you had with them was 10 January 5th of 2004. Correct? 11

A. I can't recall what date it was, the exact date. 12

13 Q. Okay. But your first contact with them, you spoke to them about having them help you get out of jail? 14

15

16

17

19

20

You were in custody for the offense of the controlled substance. Correct?

18

Q. And that conversation -- the first conversation you had regarded having them help you out of jail?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And you told them you had information on this 23 particular case, and you would only speak to them if 24 they helped you get out of jail?

25 A. Yes.

<del>2/27/2025 10:46 A</del>M

Q. And the Task Force people along with individuals from the South St. Paul Police Department told you that they would work on that for you.

ERIC GRIFFIN - CROSS

A. Yes.

3

5

6

7

Я

9

10

13

Q. And then they came back and spoke to you on another day?

A. Yes.

Q. And that's when they told you that they had spoken to the people and they were going to get you out of jail?

A. Yes.

11 Q. And that's when you gave them the information that you 12 gave them?

A. Yes.

14 Q. Now you stated that when Mr. Vance told you he 15 committed a robbery and he fucked him up -- those were 16 the words that you used. Correctly [sic]?

17

18 Q. Excuse me. You took that to mean he beat up the 19 person. Correct?

20

21 Q. And you didn't take him seriously that evening.

22 Correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. You stated that he was acting kind of wild and was

25 drunk that night.

ERIC GRIFFIN - REDIRECT

(3984

BY MS. KEENA:

Q. Was it easy for you to step forward and provide this information to the Minnesota Gang Strike Force?

A. No.

2

3

(5)

6

**..7**.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. Have you had any negative consequences as a result of stepping forward?

A. Yes.

Q. What are they?

A. Well, I had to leave town.

Q. And why did you have to leave town? 10

11 A. Because I felt threatened.

Q. How so? What happened?

Exhibit P-4

A. It was an incident that some guys pulled me over and

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Beyond the scope of cross. Irrelevant.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: These guys had pulled me over and asked me about Florida and Stacks, and once -- I didn't know who they was at first when they asked me to come to the car, and I didn't come to the car. And I asked them could they get out. The guy got out and he asked me a question. I can't really recall what it was. Then he asked me about Florida and Stacks, and then he proceeded to go to the --

A. Yes. Q. Now Mr. Griffin, you were drinking as well that

2

3

5

7

R

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

examire

255

و ز

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MS. SINGH: I have no further questions.

A. Yes.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KEENA.

Q. Mr. Griffin, how many drinks had you had prior to talking to Mr. Vance?

A. Well, maybe one or two.

evening. Correct?

Q. And you indicated that Mr. Vance wore different types of outfits as well?

A. Yes.

Q. What other types of outfits would he wear?

A. Just regular jeans or khakis, T-shirts.

Q. So you've seen him in lots of different types of clothing?

A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Griffin, was it easy for you to step forward and provide this information?

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.

Irrelevant.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Excuse me

ERIC GRIFFIN - RECROSS

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. I'm going to object to this sort of testimony as being narrative. I'd ask that they ask questions.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: And he asked me -- after I told him yeah, I knew who he was and he stepped from the car, it seemed like he was retrieving something from the guy in the back seat, and I took off running. And since then I had to pack up and move.

BY MS. KEENA:

And you moved out of state?

MS. KEENA: I have nothing further. RE-CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MS. SINGH:

Now Mr. Griffin, you've never been threatened by Mr. Vance. Isn't that correct?

18

MS. SINGH: I have no further questions.

MS. KEENA: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Thank you. You can step down.

(The witness was excused.)

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, may we approach,

please?

THE COURT: Come on up.

1	(Off-the-record bench discussion.)					
2	THE COURT: Break time.					
3	(The jury exited the courtroom.)					
4	(A short recess was taken.)					
5	(The jury returned to the courtroom.)					
6	MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State would call					
7	Isaac Hodge as a witness.					
8	THE CLERK: Would you please stop to be					
9	sworn? Please raise your right hand.					
10	(The oath was administered.)					
11	THE CLERK: You may be seated.					
12	For the record, please state your full name,					
13	spelling your first and last name.					
14	THE WITNESS: Isaac Hodge, III. Isaac,					
15	I-S-A-A-C. Last name Hodge, H-O-D-G-E, III.					
16	ISAAC HODGE, III,					
17	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on					
18	his cath as follows:					
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION					
20	BY MS. KEENA:					
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hodge. As a preliminary matter, 1					
22	would like to briefly review your criminal history.					
23	You have a 1997 and a 1998 conviction for controlled					
24	substance crime in the fifth degree?					
25	A. Yes.					
	1					

		State of Minnes
1	Q.	Do you know an individual by the name of Philip vance?
2	А.	Yes.
3	Q.	And the person that you know as Philip Vance, is he
4		present in the courtroom today?
5	А.	Yes.
6	Q.	Where is he located?
7	A.	Sitting over there (indicating).
8		MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the
9		witness has identified the defendant, Phillip Vance?
10		THE COURT: It may.
11	BY	MS. KEENA:
12	Q.	How do you know Mr. Vance?
13	A.	I know him from Sherburne County. We were locked up
14		together for a period of time.
15	Q.	And do you recall what time period you were housed
16		together?
17	A.	I left Sherburne in July, so it was probably April,
16		May, June, July somewhere.
19	Q.	That was July of 2003 you left?
20		MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
21		THE COURT: What year was it?
22		THE WITNESS: 2003 that I left.
23	BY	MS. KEENA:
24	Q.	During the time that you were housed together, did you
25		get to know Mr. Vance?

ISAAC HODGE, III - DIRECT

A. We was having little conversations, you know, play volleyball, play cards, things like that. While you were housed with Mr. Vance, was there an occasion where he voiced some concern to you about seeing someone's picture in the paper? A. Yeah, we was looking in the paper and we saw some guy in there -- do you know what I'm saying -- which was А Cross, and he said, "Man, this dude put my name in some bullshit." 9 10 Q. And what was the person's name? A. His last name was Cross. I don't know them all like 11 12 13 Q. Did -- after seeing that picture, did you engage in any 14 conversation --15 A. Yeah, we had a short conversation. Basically, you 16 know, walked up and had a short conversation, you know. 17 We were talking about -- he was telling me about -- he 18 just basically said like a murder-robbery had happened. Q. That he was involved in the murder-robbery? 20 A. Yeah, a murder-robbery. 21 Q. Did you have any other conversations regarding that? 22 A. He had came back from Kansas. I asked him why he came 23 back from Kansas if he was in trouble. You know, he was just saying that basically he was involved in a 25 murder-robbery or whatever. I was, like, "Man, why

ISAAC HODGE, III - DIRECT

403

would you come back from Kansas if people are looking for you?" He said, "To get some money."

That was his response?

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What was it?

"To get some money."

Q. Did he tell you who else was involved in the murder-robbery?

A. No, he didn't get into no specific details about the incident or where it took place. He was basically saying that, you know, he was involved in a murder-robbery, meaning that, you know, someone got killed on a robbery.

Did he say anything about the type of gun?

A. No, but he told me a couple of war stories. I know when he caught his federal case, it was a little gun. So I started joking he had a little gun on him, you know. At the time of the incident we were talking about that, he didn't directly state what type of gun

Q. Okay. Mr. Hodge, have you received anything in exchange here for your testimony today?

MS. KEENA: I have no further questions. MS. SINGH: Thank you, Your Honor. If I

19

```
ISAAC HODGE, III - CROSS
    could just have one minute.
              THE COURT: Yep.
              (Pause.)
                     CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. STNGH:
Q. Mr. Hodge, the conversation that you are speaking of
```

- with Mr. Vance occurred after he saw a picture of a person in the paper. Is that correct?
- 9

5

6

10

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

6

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And you stated that person's name was Cross, but you didn't know him?
  - A. No, I didn't know the dude.
- Q. Mr. Vance told you that this Mr. Cross put him in some bullshit. Is that your testimony?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then he told you that he was being investigated for a robbery-homicide.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A) No, he said the dude put his name in some bullshit, but 21 he didn't say he was being investigated for it. He 22 said he was involved in it.
  - Q. Now Mr. Hodge, he never gave you any details about the gun or where the robbery occurred.

MS. SINGH: I have no more questions, Your Honor. REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. KEENA: Q. Just so we're clear, Mr. Vance said he was involved in a robbery-murder. A. Yes. 8 O. Okav. Thank you. A. Meaning that, you know, they robbed somebody and somebody got killed. 10 11 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. 12 THE WITNESS: He said it wasn't worth it. 13 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. 14 Nonresponsive. Move to strike the witness's last 15 statements. 16 THE COURT: Well --17 MS. KEENA: I can ask follow-up questions. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, it wasn't 19 nonresponsive. It was --

MS. KEENA: More than we were expecting.

THE COURT: Yeah.

22 BY MS. KEENA:

20

21

23

24

25

1

3

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. I'm sorry. You indicated that Mr. Vance said something A1967
- A. Yeah, like it wasn't worth it.

### ISAAC HODGE, III - REDIRECT

406

- Q. That killing somebody wasn't worth it?
- A. Yeah, because I guess the amount of money that was took wasn't worth it.

MS. KEENA: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

MS. SINGH: No more questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hodge.

(The witness was excused.)

please?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to break for lunch and start again at 1:00, so you'll get a jump on everybody going to lunch in town.

And I want to -- I neglected to tell you prior to our having listened to a number of tapes that the attorneys, of course, have reviewed all the tapes, transcripts. "Redacted" means certain things that you don't need to hear are taken out. And I should have told you that right upfront, but now you know.

(The jury exited the courtroom.)

(Lunch recess.)

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, may we approach,

Thanks.

(The jury returned to the courtroom.)

Exhibit P-4

# TYRONE CRAWFORD - DIRECT

407

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State would call Tyrone Crawford.

THE CLERK: Please stand to be sworn. Please raise your right hand.

(The oath was administered.)

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.

For the record, please state your full name, spelling your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Tyrone Powell Crawford.

10 T-Y-R-O-N-E, C-R-A-W-F-O-R-D.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

TYRONE CRAWFORD.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KEENA:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Crawford. As a preliminary matter, I would like to briefly review your criminal history. You have an October '98 conviction for check forgery and a February 2004 conviction for mail fraud. Is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. From the time period of -- I'd like to point your attention to the time period of February 2002 until March 31st, 2004. Were you housed at the Sherburne

2

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Yeah.

TYRONE CRAWFORD - DIRECT

410

newspaper article had came out. And he had seen his picture and said, "Oh, yeah, I know him," you know, and --

Q. And could you -- do you know Monk's real name?

from different unit to different unit.

Q. While you were housed together, did you establish a

- A. Maynard Cross.
- Q. Okay. And -- so Mr. Vance indicated that he knew who that quy was?
- A. Yes.

24

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And did he say anything about that?
- A. Well, what he was concerned about is there was a robbery that happened that he had shot somebody, and at the time I thought he was just joking around about it or -- you know, a lot of people lie around there. And when the -- the news -- the thing come out in the news, I knew the stuff was real, you know. But what he was saying, though, is that Maynard Cross was going to testify against him about shooting somebody, you know, at a grocery store.
- Q. So did Mr. Vance tell you that he was under investigation for a robbery and homicide?
- A. He -- he didn't tell me he was under investigation, but he told me that it had happened. He didn't tell me, "I'm under investigation for it." He was just more concerned about him being under investigation about it because this was shortly after I had met him, so we

TYRONE CRAWFORD - DIRECT

A. Monk, he had -- he had got in some trouble, and the

411

didn't go real into detail about it. He just said that it had happened.

- Q. And did he tell you what he did?
- A. He just told me he shot a guy at the grocery store, and he was concerned that that was going to come back on
- Q. Did he give you any information on whether anyone else was involved?
- A. No, he didn't.
- 10 Q. Did he -- when he spoke about this, did he use the 11 pronoun that "I did this" or "we did this"?
  - A. When I say he didn't give any indication about anybody else, he didn't mention any names, but I was pretty sure that he didn't do it by his-self. He didn't mention anybody else's name.
- 16 Q. And what made you think that he didn't do it by 17 himself?
  - A. Well, the nouns that you were referring to, "we," "us," things like that. But --
  - Q. Mr. Crawford, are you receiving anything in exchange for your cooperation in this case?
- 22 A. No.

MS. KEENA: I have no further questions. MR. SHANDS: Just briefly, Your Honor.

**Exhibit P-4** 

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION					
2	BY MR. SHANDS:					
3	Q. Mr. Crawford, you mentioned that he indicated to you					
4	that he was concerned with Maynard Cross telling the					
5		police or telling on him about this crime. Correct?				
6	Α.	Yes.				
7	Q.	So he did indicate to you that he that Maynard Cross				
8	had some information about this crime.					
9	A. Yes.					
10	Q.	Q. He indicate and it's true that the information that				
11		he was worried that Maynard Cross had was very				
12		important.				
13	A.	Can you repeat				
14	Q.	It was very important information				
15	Α.	Oh, yes.				
16	Q.	in regards to it.				
17	A.	Yes.				
18	Q.	Now you talked about in jail at first you didn't				
19		believe Mr. Vance when he told you about it. Correct?				
20	A.	Yes.				
21	Q.	Because people in jail, they're always talking about				

<del>2/27/2025-10:46-</del>AM BY MR. SHANDS: Q. People in jail often talk about killing somebody or to 3 make themselves look hard while they're in jail. Q. Can you explain to the jury -- when I say make them "look hard," make themselves "look hard," can you 7 explain to the jury what that means. 8 A. Sometimes they brag about crimes and things that they 9 committed to make themselves look so much more tougher 10 than they really are. 11 Q. Okay. And is it true that they also brag about things 12 that they didn't do or just mix things up to make them 13 look tougher? A. It's not just with violence. It's with money or 14 15 anything. They just lie about their whole life, you 16 know. 17 Q. Thank you. 18 MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions. 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MS. KEENA: 21 Q. And just so we're clear, you initially thought that 22 Mr. Vance was puffing?

JOHN P. NUNN - DIRECT

MS. KEENA: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, overruled.

Florida?

A. Yes.

A. Yes.

Q. And you changed that opinion?

23

25

Q. And the person that you know as Florida, is he present in the courtroom today?

JOHN P. NUNN - DIRECT

7

8

10

11

12

13

19

20

23

Q. Would you please --

A. (Indicating.)

Q. -- point out -- okay.

MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the defendant has identified the defendant, Philip Vance?

THE COURT: It may.

BY MS. KEENA:

Q. How do you know Mr. Vance?

14 A. I was in the county jail with him and the Sandstone 15

And which county jail were you in with him? 16

17 A. Sherburne.

18 Q. And how did you initially meet Mr. Vance?

A. When I came in the county jail and moved into the unit that they moved -- that they was in, him and my nephew

21 was friends.

22 Q. And your nephew is who?

A. Isaac Hodge.

24 O. Did you have contact with Mr. Vance while you were 25 incarcerated with him in those -- both facilities?

2 Honor.

22

23

24 25

1

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

25

MR. SHANDS: I have nothing further. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Crawford.

MS. KEENA: I have nothing further. Your

(The witness was excused.)

THE CLERK: Please step forward to be sworn.

Please raise your right hand to be sworn.

(The oath was administered.)

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.

For the record, please state your full name.

spelling your first and last name.

they're killing somebody or --

THE WITNESS: John Peter Nunn. J-O-H-N,

N-U-N-N.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

JOHN P. NUNN.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

19 BY MS. KEENA:

> Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Nunn. As a preliminary matter, I would like to briefly review your criminal history. You have a May 28<sup>th</sup>, 2003, conviction for conspiracy to distribute narcotics?

24

Q. Mr. Nunn, do you know an individual by the nickname of

Exhibit P-4

25

418 JOHN P. NUNN - CROSS CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. SHANDS: Q. Now Mr. Nunn, it's your testimony that Mr. Vance told you about a gun that he wanted to get. He was concerned about a gun that was over -- over near 35E and Maryland. When he was in the yard, he was concerned about this gun because he didn't want the authorities to find it. Correct? 10 Q. And he told -- in fact, he told you a street where it 11 was. Correct? 13 Q. He didn't tell you the street? 14 No, he described it, the area. That's how I know. 15 Q. Okay. And did you tell that to the police? 16 X 17 Q. Now you indicated -- is it your cousin, Isaac Hodge? 18 19 Q. Your nephew? Now he was in custody with Mr. Vance also 20 during this time? A. During the time that we had that conversation? 21 22 Q. Yeah. 23 Q. When did you find out that --25 A. We was in Sandstone when we had the conversation.

Q. Did he tell you that he committed this robbery?

JOHN P. NUNN - REDIRECT 419 O. Okav. A. I met Vance in the county jail. Q. Okay. Was your cousin at the county jail at the time when you met Vance? A. My nephew. O. Your nephew? A. Yeah, he was at the county jail. All of us was in the same block. Q. Okay. Did you have occasion to talk to your cousin --10 your nephew, I'm sorry -- your nephew when you were 11 down at the county jail and all of you guys were 12 together? 13 A. Yeah. 14 MS. KEENA: Could we --15 MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions, 16 Your Honor. 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. KEENA: 19 Q. I just want to clarify what county jail we're talking 20 about. 21 A. Sherburne County. 22 Q. Okay. You didn't have any conversation -- have you had 23 any conversations with Isaac Hodge about this case? 24

Q. And did you discuss your testimony with Isaac Hodge at

MS. KEENA: I have no further questions.

24

25

BY MS. KEENA:

```
1420
                        JOHN P. NUNN - RECROSS
         a11?
 2
     A. No.
 3
                   MS. KEENA: I have nothing further, Your
         Honor.
                         RECROSS EXAMINATION
 6
     BY MR. SHANDS:
     Q. Have you talked -- have you spoken with Isaac Hodge
         since the time that you guys were together in the
         county jail?
10
     A. Yeah.
11
                   MR. SHANDS: I have no further questions.
12
         Your Honor.
13
                     FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14
     BY MS. KEENA:
15
     Q. What did you guys talk about? Well, let me --
16
17
     Q. Let me put it to you this way: Did you talk about this
18
         case when you last spoke to Isaac Hodge?
19
     A. No, because I didn't know nothing about I was being
20
         even called for a witness or nothing until just a few
         weeks ago, and I hadn't -- I hadn't talked to him since
21
22
         I've known that and now.
23
     O. Okav.
```

MS. KEENA: Nothing further.

SANYA M. CLARK - DIRECT

MR. SHANDS: Nothing further, Your Honor.

24

25

```
2/27/2025 10:46 AM
                    THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Nunn.
                    (The witness was excused.)
                    THE CLERK: Please step forward to be sworn.
  3
          Please raise your right hand.
                    (The oath was administered.)
                    THE CLERK: Please have a seat.
                    For the record, please state your full name.
          spelling your first and last name.
  9
                    THE WITNESS: Sanya Marie Clark. "Sanya" is
 10
          spelled S-A-N-Y-A. "Clark" is spelled C-L-A-R-K.
 11
                    THE CLERK: Thank you.
 12
                            SANYA M. CLARK,
 13
      having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on
      her oath as follows:
 14
 15
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
 16
      BY MS. KEENA:
17
      Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Clark. Ms. Clark, do you know
. 18
          Philip Vance?
19
      A. Yes.
20
      O. And is he present in the courtroom today?
21
22
      Q. And could you point him out, please?
 23
      A. (Indicating.)
                    MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the
 24
 25
          witness has identified Philip Vance?
```

THE COURT: It may. 1 BY MS. KEENA: Q. How long have you known Philip Vance? 3 A. Six vears. Q. And how would you describe your relationship to A. On-again, off-again love interest. Q. And do you share a child in common? 10 Q. Back in September of 2003, Mr. Vance was incarcerated in Sherburne County Jail. Is that correct? 12 Q. And were you involved in sending letters on his behalf? 13 14 15 Q. And those letters were being sent to Dominic Johnson? 16 A. Yep. MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your 17 18 Hopor? THE COURT: You may. 19 20 (Exhibit Number 45 was marked for identification.) 21 THE CLERK: Exhibit 45 marked for 22 23 identification. BY MS. KEENA: 24 25 Q. Does Mr. Vance write you letters?

Exhibit P-4

SANYA M. CLARK - DIRECT 423 Yes Q. Okay. So you're familiar with his handwriting? 3 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 4 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked for identification 5 as Exhibit 45. And it's a letter -- or it contains a letter and an envelope. Do you recognize these items? A. Uh-huh. Yeah. 8 that correct? 10 A. Yep. 11 Q. And what's the date of this letter? 12 13 Can we --14 THE COURT: Why don't you come up. 15 (Off-the-record bench discussion.) 16 17 18

O. And the envelope has a return address, Sanva Clark. Is MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, I'm going to object. Q. So is this one of the letters that you sent to Dominic Johnson on behalf of Philip Vance? 19 20 Q. And you were doing this because you know that inmates 21 in one facility can't send letters to inmates in 22 another facility. 23 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. 24 Counsel's testifying. THE WITNESS: County. 25

# PHILLIP OEFFLING - DIRECT

in this case. Reportedly that threat had come via a letter from the defendant to Dominic Johnson, who's also housed in Stillwater.

Q. And so did you go out --

A. I contacted the prison investigator and informed him what we'd been told, and he arranged for us to review all of Johnson's correspondence.

Q. And did you go out and do that?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. And did you find the -- a threatening letter concerning Regina Hagerman?

A. No.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

Q. Did you find any other letters?

A. I did, yes.

MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your

16 Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

(Exhibit Number 46 was marked for

identification.)

THE CLERK: Exhibit 46 marked for

21 identification.

22 BY MS. KEENA:

Q. I'm showing you what's been marked for identification as Exhibits 45 and 46. First drawing your attention to Exhibit 45, do you know what that item is?

# PHILLIP OEFFLING - DIRECT

427

A. That's the letter that I found at Stillwater.

Q. And this was found where?

A. The envelope -- the letter was with the envelope.

Q. And where in Stillwater was it?

A. Oh, I'm sorry. It was found in Dominic Johnson's cell and brought into an interview room, where I reviewed it.

Q. And I'm showing you Exhibit 46. Can you describe what that is.

A. It's a letter that was addressed -- the envelope, at least, was addressed to Dominic Johnson, and the letter is written to Dominic Johnson and signed by Florida Boy. And then on the back there's the defendant's information as to -- with instructions to write him back, to Johnson.

Q. And is item -- item 46, with the exception that some of the information's been redacted, is that a copy of the original that we're seeing in Exhibit 45?

19 A. Yes, it is.

7

R

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And that's an accurate copy?

A. Correct.

MS. KEENA: For the record, I'm showing Exhibit 46 to Counsel.

(Pause.)

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State would offer

DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

(A short recess was taken.)

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota <del>2/27/2025 10.46 A</del>M

PHILLIP OFFFLING - DIRECT

Exhibit 46.

MR. SHANDS: No objection.

THE COURT: Received.

BY MS. KEENA:

3

٩

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Officer Oeffling, could you just read the first couple sentences here?

- A. Of this paragraph here?
- Q. Of this -- well, starting up --

A. Okay. It begins 10:23 p.m, lock-down, the date of 9/10 of '03. It reads, "What's up my nigga. I always somehow seem to find you -- find you last. See they got you in the Cloud. Damn." Continues, "Before I holler at you I'm going to let you know," and then in capital letters, "never, ever discuss the past."

MS. KEENA: Thank you. I have no further questions.

MR. SHANDS: I have no questions. Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(The witness was excused.)

MS. KEENA: May we approach, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yeah.

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

THE COURT: Break time.

(The jury exited the courtroom.)

(The jury returned to the courtroom.)

MS. KEENA: The State would call Dontay

Reese.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

8

15

19

20

- 21

THE CLERK: Please stand to be sworn. Please raise your right hand.

(The oath was administered.)

THE CLERK: Please have a seat.

For the record, please state your full name, spelling your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Dontay Reese. D-O-N-T-A-Y, R-E-E-S-E.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

DONTAY REESE.

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS'. KEENA:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Reese.
- 20 A. (Nodding.)
  - Q. As a preliminary matter, I would like to briefly review your criminal history. You have a theft conviction from October of '99, a burglary from June of 2000, a controlled substance crime in the fifth degree from June of 2000, and a theft of a motor vehicle from

DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

December of 2001. Is that correct?

A. Yeah.

- O. I'd also like to review as a preliminary matter what you're receiving in exchange for your cooperation in this case. Okay?
- A. (Nodding.)
  - Q. You're currently awaiting sentencing on a felony case. Is that correct?
- A. Right.
- 10 Q. And the prison sentence that you could possibly get is 11 98 months. Correct?
- 12 A. Right.
- 13 Q. And that my office has agreed to recommend to the 14 sentencing judge that you be given a reduction of 36
- 15 months. Is that correct?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. Turning to the case at hand, do you know Philip Vance?
- 18
- 19 Q. And is the person that you know as Philip Vance present 20 in the courtroom today?
- A. Yep. 21
- 22 Q. Where is he at?
- 23 A. (Indicating.)
- 24 MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the. 25
  - witness has identified Philip Vance?

Exhibit P-4

DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, maybe if we could have the witness be a little more specific who Mr. Vance is.

THE COURT: What's he wearing?

THE WITNESS: I ain't got my glasses, but it looks like a bone-colored shirt.

THE COURT: Okay. The record may reflect that he's identified Mr. Vance.

BY MS: KEENA:

- 10 Q. Mr. Reese, how long have you known Mr. Vance?
- 11 A. Five -- five, six years.
- 12 Q. And how did you initially meet him?
- 13 A. Downtown, Downtown St. Paul,
  - Q. And -- I'm sorry.
    - A. Shoot -- we were shooting dice and stuff, so I met him.
- 16 Did you hang out with Mr. Vance?
- 17 A. Yeah, a couple times at bars mostly downtown.
- . 18 Q. And how often would you get together with Mr. Vance?
  - A. Not -- I don't know. Every -- it's been a while, but
  - every time we got together, it was probably once a week or something like that, every Friday, Saturday.
  - 22 Q. Do you consider him a friend?
  - 23 A. Yeah, it's -- yeah.
  - 24 Q. Do you know Dominic Johnson?
  - 25 A. No.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

В

10

11

12

13

18

19

20

21

22

23

important?

DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

Q. You were incarcerated in the Dakota County Jail this

Q. While you were there, did you have contact with

A. Close. Real close. Like brothers.

past year. Is that correct?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

Я

q

10

11

12

1.3

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Right.

434

want to talk about -- you don't want to talk about your case -- do you know what I'm saying -- around so many ears. And at the time -- do you know what I'm saying -- me and -- before this took place, me and Florida boy were kind of close, so he was able to tell me stuff. And then anybody that would come around, we'd cut the conversation dead or he would step in my room and the guard would be, like, get out of my room and we'd start the conversation back up later or something.

Q. As it relates to this case, what did Mr. Vance tell you?

A. Basically he -- well, the first conversation was him telling me that he -- he said he didn't do -- he said he didn't do what he did, and then I told him he ain't got to lie to me. And he said -- and then I brought up "Why are you wearing a mask" and stuff. And he said -- I said, "Why you wearing a mask if you're going" -- do you know what I'm saying -- "if you was going to kill the dude anyways?" That's when he was, like, "Well, it wasn't supposed to go down like that. Stacks" -- do you know what I'm saying -- he called him "Cuz" at the time. He said, "Cuz said my name and stuff."

Q. So let's back up a little bit. Were you aware of the -- did he tell you where this happened? DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

of max and came back to max. It was conversations and

Q. So you're saying people interrupting you. Why was that

A. Because -- do you know what I'm saying -- you don't

people interrupting and stuff like that.

435

A. Not -- not at this point in time. Not this conversation.

Q. Okay. I'm sorry. Keep going with what you were saying.

saying.

A. Right. Well, he said -- he said -- anyway, he was,
like, "Cuz said my name and it wasn't supposed to g

like, "Cuz said my name and it wasn't supposed to go down like that. I was zooted, I was drunk" -- do you know what I'm saying -- "and I gave the dude five. And then we got the money and got lit" -- do you know what I'm saying -- "They dropped us back off downtown."

Now I ain't know nothing about no -- nothing at this time. This is just the first conversation I remember.

14 Q. Okay. Did you have another conversation about it?

15 A. Uh-huh.

Q. That's a "yes"?

17 A. Yes. Yes. Sorry.

Q. What was said during the next conversation?

A. I'm not -- I don't remember how it went in order, but I mean as far as -- as far as him telling me that he was with the females and stuff like that, that all came -- that all came in the conversation we were talking about him, "E", which is John -- do you know what I'm saying -- about them doing something with some chicks at the little bar.

ο.	What's the little is there another name for the
	little bar?
	No. Small bar.
Q.	Do you know where it's at?
A.	It's right next to the Radisson.

- $\cdot Q$ . Okay. So he told you that who -- who was at the -- at the little bar?
- A. Him, "E", and Stacks.
- O. And I'm sorry. Who was "E"? 9
- A. "E" is John. 10

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

- 11 Q. Okay. And then what did he tell you?
  - A. He was just telling me, okay, he was doing that with the chicks and whatever. They were supposed to get some money. And John -- they all left then because Stacks called these females, Yvonne and -- Yvonne and I think Tiffany or Nikki. I don't remember the name.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. What were the names?

That's Stacks?

18 A. Yvonne was one I know, but I don't remember if it was 19 Tiffany or Nikki. I don't remember the other one. But 20 they called them -- they called them to get a ride to 21 go out to -- to his cousin's house. He was going to 22 use the money they used, not the checks, but they ended 23 up using the money they were supposed to get off the 24 checks because John ended up leaving with his BM, his babe, his girl. So -- do you know what I'm saying? 25

- Q. Did he tell you anything else during this conversation?
- A. I don't think it was the same conversation, no, but he 3 did tell me other things -- do you know what I'm saying -- in other conversations.
  - Q. Okay. What else did he tell you?
- A. He told me that him -- him and Stacks -- him and Cuz 7 went out to Hennessey's house, met up with Troy.
- 8 Q. And who's Troy? Did he tell you who Troy was?
- 9 A. He's Troy.

10

17

18

19

25

- Q. Okay. What else did he tell you?
- 11 A. He told me that him and Troy and Stacks and them, they 12 went to the store, to the mom-and-pop store, and that's 13 when -- do you know what I'm saying -- the first conversation just went into play with everything else he just said. Do you know what I'm saying?
  - Q. Who did he tell you went inside the store?
  - A. Him and -- him and Stacks, him and Cuz.
  - Q. And what did he tell you about what happened inside the
- 20 A. He told me it wasn't supposed to go down -- it wasn't 21 supposed to go down the way it went down. He went in 22 there to get some money, and Cuz ended up saying his 23 name. so --
- Q. When you say "Cuz" --24
  - A. Stacks.

DONTAY REESE - DIRECT

438

- Q. You spoke to them before that.
  - A. Right.

25

2 A. Yeah. Stacks ended up saying his name, hollering -- do 3 you know what I'm saying -- his name some type of way, 4 and that's when he gave the dude five to the back of the head and they left. They got the money and left. 5 6 Q. And did he tell you what they did after they left? A. They went to the -- they went back down -- they had the 7 8 hos drop them back off down at the bar. Q. That's how he described the girls? 10 11 Q. Did he say what happened with Troy? A. He didn't say nothing about Troy going with them or 12 13 leaving or anything. 14 Q. Did he say anything about Hennessey going with? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Did he tell you where the girls dropped them off? A. Yeah, back at the bar. Not the little bar, though; at 17 18 The Butter. 19 Q. Did he indicate to you the type of car that the girls 20 21 A. When he said -- when he said that there was -- him and Stacks had called -- him and Cuz had called the females 22 23 to pick them up, that they was looking for a blue car.

That was the car they was looking for.

Q. And did he mention the make of the car?

Exhibit P-4

DONTAY REESE - CROSS A. It had a "or" name, and I think he said Corsica or 2 Accord or something like that. I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Vance say what type of gun he used to kill the 3 clerk? A. Yeah, deuce-deuce. 6 O. A deuce-deuce? A. Yeah. It's a twenty-two handgun. Q. Did he tell you what he did with the gun? A. None. 10 Q. Did he tell you anything about the whereabouts of this 11 A. That -- he just said the police got it. 12 13 MS. KEENA: I have no further questions, Your 14 Honor. 15 MS. SINGH: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. SINGH: 18 Q. Mr. Reese, you spoke to the cop -- to the police officers on August 4th of 2004. Is that correct? 19 A. Yeah -- yeah, I believe so. 20 21 Q. That wasn't your first conversation with them. Is that 22 correct? 23 A. Uh-uh. 24

		DONTAY REESE - CROSS ( 4469)	(6-04-000736			DONTAY REESE - CROSS Filed in District Court
1	_	And when you first spoke to the police officers, you		1	0	State of Minnesota
_ [	Q.		•		Q.	Okay. And then in your statement you also/29790595th@t46 AM
2		indicated you had no information regarding this crime.		2	_	from that point they went to the store.
3		Right.		3		Right.
4	Q.	But after speaking with your family members and your		4	Q.	And you told the police officers that Troy got out of
5		lawyer, you changed your mind.		5		the car.
6	A.	Right.		6	Α.	Right.
7	Q.	And that's when the offer from the County Attorney's		7	Q.	And he was the lookout.
8		Office came back that they were going to give a		8	Α.	Right.
9		sentencing recommendation to give you a reduction of		9	Q.	And he went into the store to the back of the store.
10		sentence. Correct?		10	Α.	Yeah, except he didn't go in the store; he was in the
11	A.	Yes.		11		back of the store or around the store somewhere.
12	Q.	Now it's your testimony here today that Mr. Vance told		12	Q.	He never went inside the store.
13		you that he went to Hennessey's house. Is that		13	A.	No.
14		correct?		14	Q.	And it's your testimony here today that Mr. Vance told
15	Α.	Right.		15		you that they went into the store and then came out and
16	Q.	And you know Hennessey to be who?		16		left.
17	A.	Hennessey.		17	A.	Right.
18	Q.	Okay. You don't know his		18	Q.	And that you don't know what happened to Troy after
19	A.	Ricky.		19		that.
20	Q.	given name. And you stated in your statement that		20	A.	Right.
21		when they went to Hennessey's house, that Troy was		21	Q.	So Troy walked away?
22		there.		22	A.	Probably have to ask him that. I don't know.
23	Α.	Right.		23	Q.	And when you you stated that Mr. Vance told you the
24	Q.	And you don't know who Troy is?		24		type of gun it was was a deuce-deuce. Correct?
25	A.	No.		25	Α.	Yeah.
L				L		

		DONTAY REESE - CROSS 442	1		DONTAY REESE - REDIRECT 443
1	Q.	Now, he didn't say it was a twenty-two.		1	MS. KEENA: I just have one follow-up
2	A.	No.		2	question.
3	Q.	He said deuce-deuce.		3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	A.	Right.		4	BY MS. KEENA:
5	Ω.	You interpreted it to mean a twenty-two.		5	Q. Mr. Reese, before you made the decision to talk to the
6	A.	Yeah.		6	police about this case, did you talk to your mom and
7	Q.	Okay. Now you also stated that the Mr. Vance told		7	dad about it?
8		you that he gave him five to the back of the head?		. 8	A. Yeah.
9	A.	Right.		9	Q. And what did your dad tell you to do?
10	Q.	And that he also told you that he was zooted?		10	MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Question
11	A.	Right.		11	calls for hearsay.
12	Q.	And that means to be drunk.		12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	A.	Right.		13	BY MS. KEENA:
14	ο.	Okay. And have you seen Mr. Vance drunk in the past?		14	Q. Did you seek counsel from your parents before you
15	A.	Yes.		15	decided to come forward?
16	Ω.	And Mr. Reese, you didn't know the name of the store.		16	A. Right.
17		The officers had to tell you the name of the store was		17	MS. KEENA: I have no further questions.
18		Sabreen's. Correct?		18	MS. SINGH: No questions, Your Honor.
19	A.	Sabreen's?		19	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reese.
20	Q.	That's the name of the supermarket.		20	(The witness was excused.)
21	A.	Yeah, I guess.	İ	21	MS. KEENA: I have to do a little equipment
22	Q.	Mr. Vance never told you that name.		22	stuff before the next witness.
23	A.	No, he said pop store is what Mr. Vance said.		23	THE COURT: Well, let's take a break.
24		MS. SINGH: I have no more questions, Your		24	MS. KEENA: Like a 10-minute break?
25		Honor.		25	(The jury exited the courtroom.)
- 1		•	1	ı	· i

(A short recess was taken.) 2 (The jury returned to the courtroom.) 3 MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State would call 4 Geronimo Estrada. S THE CLERK: Please step forward and be sworn. 6 Please raise your right hand. 7 (The oath was administered.) 8 THE CLERK: Please have a seat. 9 For the record, please state your full name, 10 spelling your first and last name. 11 THE WITNESS: Geronimo Estrada. 12 G-E-R-O-N-I-M-O. Last name Estrada, E-S-T-R-A-D-A. 13 THE CLERK: Thank you. 14 GERONIMO ESTRADA, 15 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on 16 his cath as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MS. KEENA: 19 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Estrada. As a preliminary matter, 20 I'd like to briefly review your criminal history. You 21 have a fifth-degree controlled substance conviction 22 from 2002, a second-degree controlled substance

<del>2/27/2025 10:46 A</del>M Q. I would like to draw your attention to the time period 2 of early February 2003. During that month, were you 3

- incarcerated at the Ramsey County Workhouse?
- 4 A. Yes, I was.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

3

5

6

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. While you were -- do you know Philip Vance?
- 6 A. I didn't know him until I met him in Dorm 100 at the 7 workhouse.
- Q. And did you know him as Philip Vance or by a nickname? 8
- A. I got to know him by -- the name he used was Florida. 9
  - Q. And is the person that you know as Florida present in the courtroom today?
  - A. Yes, he is. He's sitting right over there (indicating).
  - Q. And what color shirt does he have on?
  - A. Cream color, tan.

MS. KEENA: May the record reflect that the witness has identified Philip Vance?

THE COURT: It may.

BY MS. KEENA: 19

- 20 Q. While you were -- you indicated that while you were at 21 the Ramsey County Workhouse, Mr. Vance was there as 22
  - A. Yes, he was. But his appearance was totally different. He had dread -- or braided hair, longer.

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.

GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

conviction from 2003, and an unauthorized use of a

motor vehicle from March 8th of 2004.

Nonresponsive.

A. That's correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

BY MS. KEENA:

- Q. Are you familiar with a person by the -- that has the nickname of Stacks?
- 6 A. Yeah.

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

12

13

20

24

25

- 7 Q. And do you know Stacks' real name?
- 8 A. No. I don't.
- Q. Was he incarcerated in the Ramsey County Workhouse the 9 same time period as you as well? 10
- 11
  - Q. And was there a time period when you, Stacks, and Mr. Vance were together in the Ramsey County Workhouse?
- A. Yeah, that was only one time during the day, though. 14
- 15 It was during recreation.
- Q. And so you and Mr. Vance were actually -- were you 16 17 housed in the same unit?
- A. Yes, we were. 18
- Q. And what was the unit again? 19
  - A. Dorm 100. It's an intake unit.
- 21 Q. While you were housed with Mr. Vance, did he engage you
- 22 in any conversation about a crime that he was being
- 23 investigated for?
  - A. I was speaking to another inmate -- his name was Deville about --

Exhibit P-4

GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

- I'm sorry. Could you just speak up a little bit? A. I was talking to another inmate in there -- his name
- was Deville -- and he was talking about his case. And
- L I had some law books, and then Florida came up and asked me if there was any statute of limitations on murder. And I told him no, there's no statute of limitations on murder.
- Q. And were there any subsequent conversations after that?
- A. Well, after that Deville, myself, and -- I forgot --10 another inmate, a Mexican guy, were sitting at the card 11 table and he started talking about that he was being 12 harassed by some cops investigating him about a murder.
- 13 Q. And was there any further conversation at that point?
  - A. At that point Deville started talking to him, and Florida started getting confused and he started talking about some things about the -- about the store, about what was gone from the store, what he had. Deville kept throwing things at him, and Florida got shook up and he stopped talking.
  - Q. And -- so was there any conversation following that occasion?
  - A. No. I'd say about an hour and a half later, he started talking about that they ain't going to catch me, they ain't got nothing on me, and he started mocking them, started joking around like it was nothing.

A. The police officers, the investigators that were investigating him.

Q. Okay. Did he say anything else on that occasion?

A. Ho made a comment about "I touched him him the police of the comment of the police 
Q. So when you say he was mocking them --

A. He made a comment about "I touched him like they touched my cousin up on the hill" because apparently he was related to somebody that tried to rob somebody for some dope, but he got shot instead, his cousin. So he said he touched that person the same way they touched his cousin.

Q. So did he say anything else?

 $\label{eq:MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Vague,} \end{substitute} \begin{substitute}{0.5\textwidth} \textbf{MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Vague,} \end{substitute}$ 

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: He just kept talking, you know, talking about it like it was nothing, like they're not going to do anything.

BY MS. KEENA:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

В

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. So did you have another conversation after this?

A. After that I went -- I was talking to him and he started talking about a car that he lost, a new Cadi that they used leaving Minnesota. He said they hit a deer and there was holes in the hood of the car and that they left the car at the rest stop with everything else inside of it. Q. I want to go back. Did he talk specifical/27/28025 10:46 AM being involved in the crime that he was being investigated for?

A. He made -- he made gestures to the crime, but he didn't directly say he committed the crime. But he was making gestures, making fun, like it was nothing, like it was no big deal.

8 Q. What was he saying?

A. Just a lot of mostly rude comments about, you know, about the person.

Q. Okay.

A. That he was a little bitch. "He cried like a bitch when he got shot," things like that, you know.

Q. So did he indicate that -- where this happened?

15 A. N

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Did he indicate about who was with him?

A. No.

Q. What did he say about the guy in the store?

A. That he cried like a little bitch when he got shot.
That's what -- that's what caught me right there.

Q. Did he say anything about what he did to the guy?

A. Laid him down. He said he laid him down and he put one in him, he capped him, capped his ass. "Cried like a bitch before he died," he said.

Q. I'm sorry. I can't hear you.

### GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

450

A. He said he cried like a bitch before he died.

Q. And what did you say about laying him down?

A. That he laid him down and then he shot him.

Q. Is that the words he used, "shot him," or did he --

A. He capped him, put a cap in his ass. "I laid the bitch down and I put a cap in his ass."

Q. Did he indicate what -- if the victim was saying anything?

A. He was saying that the guy was hysterical and crying. He was crying, the man was crying "Please don't hurt me," things like that.

Q. Mr. Estrada, do you remember testifying in the grand jury in this matter?

A. Yes, I do.

MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

18 BY MS. KEENA:

Q. Mr. Estrada, I'm just going to ask you to review your testimony from the grand jury to refresh your memory.

A. (Pause.) Yeah. I remember this.

Q. Okay. This is what you testified at the grand jury. What you just read is your recollection?

24 A. Yes.

24 A. Yes

Q. So pointing your attention to the store, he didn't tell

### GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

451

you the name of the store. Correct?

A. Uh-uh.

5

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

20

22

23

25

Q. And did he tell you what happened when they entered the store?

A. That the guy was startled and didn't know what was going on, and that they rushed him right away. And they were going after the money, and another guy was grabbing stuff, cigarettes, bags, and stuff like that. He was telling me about plastic baggies, that they grabbed baggies. I don't know what for. And they grabbed a phone. He started talking about an expensive phone that they had.

Q. And how many times did he indicate he had shot him?

A. He said he shot him once in the back of the head.

MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your

Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

18 BY MS. KEENA:

Q. What's your --

A. (Pause.) He kept saying one or two.

Q. Okay. I just was showing you your grand jury testimony, and during the grand jury, you indicated two.

24 A. Uh-huh.

Q. What's your recollection today?

<del>2/27/2025 10:46 A</del>M

```
GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT
                                                       452
A. Two. But he always -- he was talking all over the
    dorm, you know. He kept saving one, two --
              MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.
    Nonresponsive. Move to strike.
              THE COURT: Well, overruled.
BY MS. KEENA:
Q. Did he -- again, you said -- as far as when they went
    into the store, you're saying they went into the store.
    Did he ever tell you who "they" were?
Q. And did he -- I'm sorry.
A. Till Stacks opened his mouth.
Q. Okay. So at another -- on another occasion, did you
    have a conversation where Stacks was present?
A. Yeah, in the gym.
Q. And was Mr. Vance present for that conversation as
    we112
```

tennis shoes. 3 Q. And was there anything further about Stacks' 4 involvement at that point? A. No. None that I can remember. You know, it's -- it's 5 been a while --O. Yeah. A A. -- since. 9 Q. Going back to the store again, what did Mr. Vance tell 10 you that was taken from the store? A. Lottery tickets, cash, a phone, and baggies. 12 Q. Did he say anything about cigarettes? 13 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 THE WITNESS: I think he mentioned something 16 about Newports, they had a bunch of Newports. They had 17 Newports in the workhouse. I remember that now. They 18 had cigarettes because they didn't spend money on the 19 canteen. They were selling cigarettes inside the 20 workhouse. You can't smoke in there. 21 BY MS. KEENA: 22 O. Did Mr. Vance sav anything about the gun that he used? 23 A. All I can remember is that he said that he left that in the car, in the Cadillac that he was -- they used. 24 O. This Cadillac that he talked about, did he -- did he 25

### GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

Q. And what was said during that conversation?

A. They were teasing Stacks about his -- his Nike Airs.

They were imitation, and he was grilling Stacks about

A. -- that's when Stacks started talking about when I get

out, I got Stack some money and lottery tickets that I

say that that was the car that they took to the store? A. No.

> MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Leading. THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Overruled. Was that answered? MS. KEENA: Yes. He answered that question. THE COURT: Okay.

BY MS. KEENA:

2

٦

4

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

10

[11]

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

A. Yes, he was.

it and --

Q. And --

Q. Did he indicate what they did with the clothing that they were wearing that night?

A. He said he left it in the car and had somebody else come and pick him up from the rest stop.

Q. That was in -- like in this Cadillac you're talking about?

A. Yeah, they said they left it off the side of the road in a rest stop in Wisconsin.

Q. And that's the one you were talking about --

A. So when he told me about that they hit a deer and left holes on the hood (indicating) and cracked the battery or something like that because they couldn't get it

22 Q. Did Mr. Vance mention what city this occurred in?

23 A. Wisconsin.

> Q. No, I'm sorry. Going back to the shooting, did he indicate what city it occurred in?

Exhibit P-4

GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

No. I don't think so.

O. After you heard these comments, what did you do?

A. Well, I turned around, walked away from him, called him a sick bastard, and went and laid down because I

couldn't believe what I was hearing because the man had no remorse.

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.

Question -- the answer called for speculation. I move

THE COURT: Overruled.

11 BY MS. KEENA:

10

12

15

Q. Did you eventually contact someone about it?

13 A. Yeah, I called my fiancee.

14 Q. What's her name?

A. Sonia.

16 Q. Did you call her the same day that these comments were 17 being made?

18 A. Yeah, I called at like two hours after because I had to 19 think about it.

20 Q. What did you ask Sonja to do?

21 A. To contact a police officer out in South St. Paul.

22 Q. And the phone calls at the workhouse, they're recorded. 23 Is that right?

24 A. Yeah.

25 Q. And you know that. Right?

GERONIMO	ESTRADA	-	DIRECT

tennis shoes as well in that car. He kept talking 2 about that. Q. Did he say -- did he describe the mask at all? A. All that was showing were the eyes. (Indicating.) That was it. Q. Did he have a specific name for the mask? 7 8 MS. KEENA: Permission to approach, Your 9 Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 BY MS. KEENA: 12 Q. Mr. Estrada, I just want to show you a page from your 13 grand jury testimony to refresh your memory. 14 A. (Pause.) Yeah. 15 Q. Okay. Having just refreshed your memory, was there a 16 description of the type of mask? 17 A. Yeah, a ninja mask. 18 O. And is that what Mr. Vance called it or is that what 19 you called it? 20 A. That's what I called it. 21 Q. Okay. And to you what's a ninja mask? 22 A. A minja mask covers the whole face but it leaves you space -- enough space so you can see out of the mask. 23 Q. And how did Mr. Vance describe to you the mask that he 24

### GERONIMO ESTRADA - DIRECT

459

A. God, he said that but -- he just said he had a mask that covered his whole face. I can't -- I can't recall it.
 Q. Okay. That's fine. Just final -- one final question:

When they walked into the store, did Mr. Vance indicate where he went?

A. He ran back of the counter. Went and grabbed him right away.

Q. Went and grabbed who right away?

A. I don't know the man's name. He grabbed the person that was working inside that store.

Q. Okay. And did he indicate where the other person went to?

A. The other -- he said the other -- the other guy was running around grabbing stuff, and he said he laid him down and the guy started crying, started yelling --

MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor.

Nonresponsivé.

3

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Sustained.

MS. KEENA: That's fine. We've gone over

that.

I have no further questions, Your Honor.

MS. SINGH: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Estrada.

had on?

460 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 (The witness was excused.) MS. KEENA: Your Honor, can we approach? THE COURT: Yes. (Off-the-record bench discussion.) THE COURT: We're going to let you go now. and we're not going to make you sit in the jury room and wait for an hour before you can get out of the building. You can go home now. 10 But let me remind you: Don't talk to anyone 11 about the case, don't read anything, listen to 12 anything, and have a great weekend. Monday at 9:00. 13 (The jury exited the courtroom.) 14 (Proceedings adjourned.) 15 16 17 18

October 4, 2004

(Whereupon, the following proceedings
were duly had of record October 4, 2004:)
(The jury returned to the courtroom.)
MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State would
re-call Daniel Vujovich.

THE COURT: You're still under oath.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. KEENA:

Captain Vujovich, as the officer overseeing this investigation, were you aware of the statement of John Nunn stating that Mr. Vance was worried about the police finding the gun that was used in the crime at some friend's residence off of 35E and Marion?

A. Yes.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q. When did your department initially receive that information from Mr. Nunn?

A. Detective Oeffling took that statement on September 17<sup>th</sup>.

Q. Of what year?

A. 2004.

Q. So that was more than a year and a half after the crime was committed?

A. Yes.

Q. After receiving that information, did you take any

## DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT EXAMINATION

action to try to determine who that friend might be?

462

A. We did not have a name, but in the jail records from Sandstone, we found a phone number that Mr. Vance had called. Checking that phone number, came back to a female party.

Q. And what was the female party's name?

A. Cassandra Yorga.

Q. And where did you determine -- or did you determine where she lived?

A. Yes, in St. Paul at 265 Ravoux Street.

11 Q. And that's spelled R-A-V-O-U-X?

A. Yes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

10

12

(13)

14 15

16

17

18

20

23

24

Q. And where is her residence located in relation to 35E and Marion?

A. It's not too far off of Marion. I just looked at it on MapQuest. It appears to be a half a block to a block off of Marion.

Q. Did you speak to Ms. Yorga about the investigation?

19 A. Yes, I did.

Q. And do you recall when you spoke to her?

21 A. It was just general conversation --

22 Q. No, I'm sorry. When you spoke to her.

A. Oh. Last week. I'd check my report to get the exact date.

Q. Do you have it with you?

Exhibit P-4

DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT EXAMINATION

September 25<sup>th</sup>.

Q. Did Ms. Yorga know anything about the investigation?

A. No

Q. Did she tell you anything about the gun?

A. No

Q. As -- in overseeing this investigation, did you conduct an interview of Geronimo Estrada on February 9<sup>th</sup> of 2003?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. During the course of that interview, did Mr. Estrada
11 tell you about Mr. Vance's comments regarding putting
12 the shoes and clothing and the gun into a gray
13 Cadillac?

14 A. Yes

Q. When you interviewed Mr. Estrada, did he indicate to you that that was the car that was used in the crime?

17 A. No

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. What was he indicating to you when he told you that?
MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: He indicated to me that some items that were items of clothing and shoes were left in that vehicle.

23

24

25

A. Yes, I did.

### DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT EXAMINATION 466 And was there anything of evidentiary value found in No. Q. You conducted an interview of Mr. Vance on January 15th, 2003. Is that correct? O. During the course of that interview, did you ever mention to him that there were lottery tickets taken? 10 O. Did you ever mention to him that there were cigarettes 11 taken? 12 13 Q. Did you ever mention to him that there were white 14 plastic bags taken? 15 16 Q. Did you ever mention to Mr. Vance during that interview 17 whether there was a phone taken? 18 A. No. 19 Q. You interviewed Mr. Vance again on January 16th, 2003. 20 Is that correct? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. During that interview, did you ever mention that there 23 were cigarettes taken? 24 A. No. 25 Q. Did you ever mention lottery tickets?

### DANIEL VUJOVICH - DIRECT EXAMINATION 467 No. o. Did you ever mention the white plastic bags? 3 o. Did you ever mention the phone? 5 No. 6 Q. And again, when did you conduct your interview of Geronimo Estrada? A. February 9th. Q. Of 2003? 10 Yes. I'm sorry. Q. Following your interview of Mr. Estrada, you conducted 12 additional interviews of Mr. Vance. Is that correct? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Now in your earlier testimony you indicated on 15 cross-examination that you thought you might have 16 mentioned the phone to Mr. Vance during the June 18th, 17 2003, interview. Do you recall that testimony? 18 19 Following your earlier testimony, did you have an 20 opportunity to review the interviews that you conducted 21 of Mr. Vance?

 During any of the interviews following Mr. Estrada's February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2003, interview, did you ever mention

during the interviews with Mr. Vance the missing

3

5

я

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

lottery tickets? 2 MR. SHANDS: Objection, Your Honor. The evidence that's in speaks for itself --THE COURT: Okav. MR. SHANDS: -- vouching testimony. THE COURT: Overruled. 7 BY MS. KEENA: Q. Did you ever mention to him the missing lottery 10 A. Checking my statements. I did not find that. 11 O. Did you ever mention cigarettes? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Did you ever mention in those statements that white 14 plastic bags were taken? 15 A. No. 16 Q. Did you ever mention that a phone was taken? 17 A. No. 18 MS. KEENA: I have no further questions, Your 19 Honor. MR. SHANDS: We have no questions, Your 20 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Thanks. 23 (The witness was excused.) THE COURT: Stipulation? 24 25 MS. KEENA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The attorneys -- the parties have entered into an agreement. I'm going to read that to you now.

It is hereby agreed and stipulated that Philip Vance and Geronimo Estrada were incarcerated together in the Ramsey County Workhouse from February 6, 2003, through February 10, 2003.

Philip Vance and Tyrone Crawford were incarcerated together in the Sherburne County Jail from April 21, 2003, through December 19, 2003.

Philip Vance and John Nunn were incarcerated together in the Sherburne County Jail from April 22. 2003, through June 9, 2003.

Philip Vance and John Nunn were incarcerated together in the Sandstone Correctional Facility from December 19, 2003, through February 13, 2004.

Philip Vance and Isaac Hodge were incarcerated together in the Sherburne County Jail from April 21, 2003, through July 18, 2003.

And Philip Vance and Dontay Reese were incarcerated together in the Dakota County Jail from March 18, 2004, through March 20, 2004, and June 22nd, 2004, through August 4, 2004.

MS. KEENA: Thank you, Your Honor. And with that, the State rests.

THE COURT: Thank you. We'll take a break. I know it's early, but we'll take a break. (The jury exited the courtroom.) THE COURT: Have a seat.

We should make a record of the objection regarding Mr. Vujovich.

MR. SHANDS: Yes, Your Honor. I did object to Captain Vujovich's testimony. Captain Vujovich had testified previously, had been cross-examined and basically had been dismissed as a witness. The testimony he gave today basically was vouching testimony for evidence that had already been presented -- that had been presented -- evidence had been presented through Geronimo Estrada. Geronimo Estrada testified to certain -- to what he heard and what was said. Captain Vujovich's testimony today was just to -- to bolster the credibility of what Mr. Estrada said. Captain Vujovich did not add anything new. Basically his testimony today was solely to bolster the credibility or truthfulness of Mr. Estrada's testimony. It's our position that it was improper and it should not have been admitted.

THE COURT: Anything?

MS. KEENA: Your Honor, the State's purpose for introducing that additional testimony -- first, the

Exhibit P-4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

State had to ask the -- kind of the foundation questions on where I was going, so I had to be able to explain to the jury the underlying information that Mr. Estrada brought forward during the investigation.

The reason for calling Daniel Vujovich again on that point was to show the efforts that the police took after receiving that information from Mr. Estrada in trying to locate that vehicle so that the claim could not be made by the defense that we had lazy cops here and we weren't following leads. That's the same reason why I brought up the testimony about Cassandra Yorga, to show that the police, you know, were trying to follow up on things, on new information they got, including new information that they just got on September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2004.

So that was the purpose for the testimony, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then --

MR. SHANDS: And we also, Your Honor, at this time we would make a motion for a directed verdict at this point. We -- quite frankly, we wanted to make -we would make the motion, we would ask the Court to reserve brief argument on the motion for tomorrow morning before the Court. But we just want the record to reflect that we have made the motion after the

25

474

Q. And you made that on your own --A. Yes. Q. -- volition? 3 A. Yes. 5 O. All right. Throughout this trial, a couple of times I have come to you and explained to you that the State has made offers to you. Is that correct? A. Correct. 9 Q. One of the offers was to plead to a second-degree 10 intentional murder. Correct? 11 A. Correct. 12 Q. And you would have -- if you were to take that deal, 13 you would have to testify or basically tell what 14 happened in this case. Is that correct? 15 A. Correct. 16 Q. And at that time I brought to you one that was -- we 17 had talked about you would get the time in the 18 sentencing guidelines on the grid? 19 A. Correct. 20 O. And I believe that's over 300 months. 21 A. Yes. 22 O. You did not want to take that deal. Is that correct? 23 A. That's right. Q. I also came to you that the State offered yesterday a 24 25. deal for you to plead guilty. You would have to do 40.

475 months -- 40 months -- 40 years in prison, and you would also have to allocute, meaning tell what happened. Is that correct? 5 Q. And you do not want to take that deal. Q. Okay. And I didn't make any promises, threats, or try to coerce you in any way to not take their deal? A. No, you didn't. 10 Q. Okay. That's your decision? 11 A. Yes. 12 O. Okay. 13 MR. SHANDS: I think that's it. 14 MS. KEENA: I don't have any questions. 15 I just wanted to clarify on the plea offer, 16 that the State only made one plea offer in this case, 17 and that was yesterday afternoon. The other -- other 18 plea offer that was discussed was not actually a plea 19 offer made by the State but a suggestion that the State 20 might be open to a second-degree intentional murder. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. You can step down. 22 (The defendant was excused.) 23 (A short recess was taken.)

THE COURT: We'll make a record. We're going

through jury instructions. Mr. Shands has asked the

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

10 11 12

13

14

15 16 17

22 23 24

25

2 3

6

A 9

11 13

10

14 15 16

18 19 20

17

21 22 23

24

25

Court to instruct the jury on the defendant's failure to testify, and I don't have a copy of it in front of me. Do you have the book?

MR. SHANDS: I got the book right here. I'm trying to --

MS. KEENA: It's up in the thirties somewhere.

MR. SHANDS: It's CRIM JIG 3.17 -- page 31 -you got it? 3.17?

THE COURT: I'll have it in a minute.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

THE COURT: Let's go on the record.

We're back on the record in the Vance case, and there is a request to sequester the jury, so I'll -- when we let them go today, I'll tell them to bring clothing and personal effects.

The defendant will formally rest before the jury but is reserving the right to argue a motion for judgment of acquittal, and we'll do that before the jury is seated tomorrow morning.

But we -- I know you may want more time to look at the jury instructions, but are the ones -- the boilerplate instructions that we went through acceptable? And I will make changes. I will take out the last paragraph on direct and circumstantial. I've

indicated I don't intend to give the suggested instruction in State versus Bernhard and take out, on impeachment, evidence of the witness's reputation for truthfulness.

MR. SHANDS: Right. I mean, just briefly, I mean -- I haven't read the case -- I would ask that you give that third paragraph.

THE COURT: Okay. Then denied.

And if you need -- would you like to take some time now to review the substantive instructions? I know we've all had that for some time now. Maybe you've already had a chance to go through it.

We had a note -- a ruling on Exhibit 12, letter from Tariq Bakkri to Maynard Cross, was reserved. I think I made clear at some point that I wasn't going to allow that in.

MS. KEENA: Yeah, only at the end of the foundation had been laid.

THE COURT: Yeah. So do you need some time or --

MR. SHANDS: Yeah, just briefly.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. SHANDS: I just want to match them up.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

THE COURT: We'll put on the record that with

479

478

respect to instructions, the defense has considered it and will not ask for a cautionary instruction regarding testimony of the Gang Strike Task Force, not wanting to emphasize that testimony or -- so that's on the record.

(Off-the-record discussion.)

(The jury returned to the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Have a seat.

Mr. Shands.

MR. SHANDS: Your Honor, Mr. Vance rests.

THE COURT: Thank you. I'm going to instruct you on the law. There's a lot. I'm going to give each of you a copy of the written instructions to consult in the jury deliberation room, but listen carefully.

It is your duty to decide the questions of facts in this case. It is my duty to give you the rules of law you must apply in arriving at your verdict. You must follow and apply the rules of law as I give them to you, even if you believe the law is or should be different.

Deciding questions of fact is your exclusive responsibility, and in doing so, you must consider all the evidence you have heard and seen in this trial, and you must disregard anything you may have heard or seen elsewhere about this case.

I have not by these instructions, nor by any

ruling or expression during the trial, intended to indicate my opinion regarding the facts or outcome of this case. If I have said or done anything that would seem to indicate such an opinion, you are to disregard

You must consider these instructions as a whole and regard each instruction in the light of all the others. The order in which the instructions are given is of no significance, and you are free to consider the issues in any order you wish.

You've been allowed to take notes during the trial. You may take those notes with you to the jury room. You should not consider these notes binding or conclusive, whether they're your notes or those of another juror. The notes should be used as an aid to your memory and not as a substitute for it.

· You should disregard anything -- I'm sorry. It is your recollection of the evidence that should control. You should disregard anything contrary to your recollection that may appear in your own notes or those of another juror. You should not give greater weight to a particular piece of evidence solely because it is referred to in a note taken by a juror.

Attorneys are officers of the court. It is their duty to make objections they think proper and to

R

argue their client's cause. However, the arguments or other remarks of an attorney are not evidence. If the attorneys or I have made or should make any statement as to what the evidence is which differs from your recollection of the evidence, you should disregard the statement and rely solely on your own memory. If an attorney's argument contains any statement of the law that differs from the law I give you, disregard the statement.

During this trial, I have ruled on objections to certain testimony and exhibits. You must not concern yourself with the reasons for the rulings since they are controlled by rules of law. By receiving evidence to which objection was made, I did not intend to indicate the weight to be given such evidence. You are not to speculate as to possible answers to questions I did not require to be answered, and you are to disregard all evidence I have ordered stricken or have told you to disregard.

A fact may be proven by either direct or circumstantial evidence or by both. The law does not prefer one form of evidence over the other. A fact is proven by direct evidence when, for example, it is proven by witnesses who testify to what they saw, heard, or experienced, or by physical evidence of the

fact itself. A fact is proven by circumstant 2010.46 AM evidence when its existence can be reasonably inferred from other facts proven in the case.

You are the sole judges of whether a witness is to be believed and of the weight to be given a witness's testimony. There are no hard and fast rules to guide you in this respect. In determining believability and weight of testimony, you may take into consideration the following nine factors:

One, the witness's interest or lack of interest in the outcome of the case. Two, the witness's relationship to the parties. Three, the witness's ability and opportunity to know, remember, and relate the facts. Four, the witness's manner. Five, the witness's age and experience. Six, the witness's frankness and sincerity or lack thereof. Seven, the reasonableness or unreasonableness of their testimony in the light of all the other evidence in the case. Eight, any impeachment of the witness's testimony. More on that in a second. Nine, any other factors that bear on believability and weight. You should rely, in the last analysis, on your own experience, good judgment, and common sense.

In deciding the believability and weight to be given the testimony of a witness, you may consider:

First, evidence that the witness has been convicted of a crime. You may consider whether the kind of crime committed indicates the likelihood the witness is telling or not telling the truth. Second, you may consider evidence of a statement by or conduct of the witness on some prior occasion that is inconsistent with the witness's present testimony. Evidence of any prior inconsistent statement or conduct should be considered only to test the believability and weight of the witness's testimony. In the case of the defendant, however, evidence of any statement he may have made may be considered by you for all purposes.

A witness who has special training, education, or experience in a particular science, occupation, or calling is allowed to express an opinion as to certain facts. In determining the believability and weight to be given such opinion evidence, you may consider the following four factors:

One, the education, training, experience, knowledge, and ability of the witness. Two, the reasons given for the opinion. Three, the sources of the information. And four, factors already given you for evaluating the testimony of a witness. Such opinion evidence is entitled to neither more nor less consideration by you than any other evidence.

The defendant is presumed innocent of the charges made. This presumption remains with the defendant unless and until the defendant has been proven guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That the defendant has been brought before the court by the ordinary processes of the law and is on trial should not be considered by you as in any way suggesting guilt. The burden of proving guilt is on the State. The defendant does not have to prove innocence.

Proof beyond a reasonable doubt is such proof as ordinarily prudent men and women would act upon in their most important affairs. A reasonable doubt is a doubt based upon reason and common sense. It does not mean a fanciful or capricious doubt, nor does it mean beyond all possibility of doubt.

The State must convince you by evidence beyond a reasonable doubt that the defendant is guilty of the crimes charged. The defendant has no obligation to prove innocence.

The defendant has the right not to testify. This right is guaranteed by the federal and state constitutions. You should not draw any inference from the fact that the defendant has not testified in this case.

Now, before the defendant may be convicted of

Exhibit P-4

Я

an offense charged, you must find that the defendant committed that specific offense. You are not to convict the defendant of any offense with which he is not charged.

б

Я

There are three charges. First, murder in the first degree. The statutes of Minnesota provide that whoever causes the death of a human being with premeditation and with the intent to effect the death of the person or another is guilty of murder in the first degree.

The elements of murder in the first degree are: First, the death of Khaled al-Bakri must be proven. Second, the defendant caused the death of Khaled al-Bakri. Third, the defendant acted with premeditation and with the intent to kill Khaled al-Bakri.

"Premeditation" means that the defendant considered, planned, prepared for, or determined to commit the act before he committed it. Premeditation, being a process of the mind, is wholly subjective and hence not always susceptible to proof by direct evidence. It may be inferred from all of the circumstances surrounding the event. It is not necessary that premeditation exist for any specific length of time. A premeditated decision to kill may be

reached in a short period of time. However, an unconsidered or rash impulse, even though it includes an intent to kill, is not premeditated. In order to have had an intent to kill, the defendant must have acted with the purpose of causing death or believe that the act would have that result.

Fourth element: That defendant's act took place on or about December 22, 2002, in Dakota County.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you have a reasonable doubt that there was premeditation but you find that all the other elements are proven, then the defendant is guilty of murder in the second degree.

The crime of murder in the second degree differs from murder in the first degree only in that the killing was done with intent to kill another person but not with premeditation. If you find that any element other than premeditation has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty of murder.

Second offense: Murder in the first degree while committing aggravated robbery. This is -- the statutes of Minnesota provide that whoever causes the death of a human being with intent to effect the death

of that person while committing the crime of aggravated robbery is guilty of murder in the first degree.

The elements of this count of murder in the first degree are: First, the death of Khaled al-Bakri must be proven. Second, the defendant caused the death of Khaled al-Bakri. Third, the defendant acted with the intent to kill Khaled al-Bakri.

In order to find the defendant had an intent to kill, you must find that Defendant acted with the purpose of causing death or believed that the act would have that result. Intent, being a process of the mind, is not always susceptible to proof by direct evidence but may be inferred from all the circumstances surrounding the event. It is not necessary that the defendant's act be premeditated.

Fourth element: At the time of the act causing the death of Khaled al-Bakri, the defendant was engaged in the act of committing the crime of aggravated robbery in the first degree. The statutes of Minnesota define aggravated robbery in the first degree as follows: Whoever, knowing he was not entitled to do so, takes personal property from another, either from the person or in the presence of the person, and uses force or the threat of imminent force against any person to overcome resistance or

compel acquiescence in the taking or carrying away of the property is guilty of a crime if the defendant is armed with a dangerous weapon or inflicts bodily harm upon another person.

The elements of aggravated robbery in the first degree are: That the defendant took property from the person of or in the presence of Khaled al-Bakri knowing that the defendant was not entitled to take it.

Second element: That the defendant used force or the threat of imminent force against Khaled al-Bakri to overcome resistance or to compel acquiescence in the taking or carrying off of the property. The term "threat of imminent force" means the intentional creation in Khaled al-Bakri's mind of an understanding that if he resisted or refused to cooperate, force would immediately be used against him.

Third element: That the defendant was harmed with a dangerous weapon or inflicted bodily harm upon Khaled al-Bakri. A firearm, whether loaded or unloaded, is a dangerous weapon. "Bodil; harm" means physical pain or injury, illness, or any impairment of physical condition.

Fifth element: That the defendant's act took place on or about December 22, 2002, in Dakota County,

<del>Exhibit P-4</del>

19-K6-04-000736

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Filed in District Court State of Minnesota

491

Minnesota.

1

2

3

5

6

7 A

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not guilty.

Third offense: Murder in the second degree. The statutes of Minnesota provide that whoever causes the death of a human with intent to cause the death of that person but without premeditation is guilty of murder in the second degree.

The elements of murder in the second degree are: First, the death of Khaled al-Bakri must be proven. Second, it must be proven that defendant caused the death of Khaled al-Bakri. Third, that the defendant acted with intent to kill Khaled al-Bakri.

In order to find the defendant had an intent to kill, you must find that the defendant acted with the purpose of causing death or believed that the act would have that result. Intent, being a process of the mind, is not always susceptible to proof beyond direct evidence but may be inferred from all the circumstances surrounding the event. It is not necessary that the defendant's act be premeditated.

Fourth element: That the defendant's act

took place on or about December 22, 20022/27/2020t40:46 AM County, Minnesota.

If you find that each of these elements has been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is guilty. If you find that any element has not been proven beyond a reasonable doubt, the defendant is not

Counsel wish to point out any errors or omissions in the instructions?

> MS. KEENA: Nothing by the State, Your Honor. MR. SHANDS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, it's 10:50. We're well ahead of schedule, and we're going to send you home now. When you come back tomorrow morning at 9:00, you'll hear the attorneys' closing arguments. I'll then have a few additional instructions and guidelines for you. Again, you'll get a written copy, each of you, in the jury room and then you'll begin deliberating. Bring clothes and personal effects.

Can the attorneys approach?

(Off-the-record bench discussion.)

THE COURT: I ask you to bring clothes and personal effects because you may not be returning home tomorrow. Once you get the case, you'll be deliberating where you'll be together until you reach a

490

verdict.

Anything else?

MS. KEENA: Not at this time.

MR. SHANDS: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Remember: Don't talk about it. don't read about it, don't listen to any stories about it, and thanks. See you tomorrow morning, 9:00.

(The jury exited the courtroom.)

(Proceedings adjourned.)

STATE OF MINNESOTA 35; COUNTY OF DAKOTA

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, MONICA R. MORIARTY, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing transcript, consisting of the preceding 138 pages, is a correct transcript of my stenographic notes and is a full, true, and complete transcript of the proceedings to the best of my ability.

Dated: November 2, 2004

MONICA R. MORIARTY, RDR, CRR Dakota County Judicial Center 1560 West Highway 55 Hastings, MN (651) 438-8045 55033

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

**Exhibit P-4**